

Upload your report as a PDF-file on Canvas before Sunday October 23, 11:59pm.

1. Given  $n \geq 1$  a set of  $m + 1$  evaluation points  $\{x_i\}_{i=0}^m$ , with  $m \geq n$ , first implement the following functions:
  - (a) A function `ortho_coeffs` that computes the coefficients of a set of  $n + 1$  monic polynomials  $\varphi_0, \dots, \varphi_n$  that are orthogonal w.r.t. the inner product

$$\langle f, g \rangle_m := \sum_{i=0}^m f(x_i)g(x_i), \quad \text{with } m \geq n.$$

Such monic polynomials satisfy the recursive formula:

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_{-1} &= 0, & \varphi_0 &= 1 \\ \varphi_{k+1} &= (x - \beta_k)\varphi_k - \gamma_k\varphi_{k-1}, & k &= 0, 1, \dots, n-1, \end{aligned}$$

with the coefficients

$$\beta_k = \frac{\langle x\varphi_k, \varphi_k \rangle_m}{\|\varphi_k\|^2}, \quad k = 0, 1, \dots, n,$$

and

$$\gamma_k = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{for } k = 0 \\ \frac{\|\varphi_k\|^2}{\|\varphi_{k-1}\|^2}, & k = 1, \dots, n. \end{cases}$$

Note that the squared norm  $\|\cdot\|^2$  in the definition of the coefficients is given by the inner product defined above, that is  $\|f\|^2 := \langle f, f \rangle_m$ .

This function should take  $n$  and  $\{x_i\}_{i=0}^m$  as input and should return the coefficients  $\{\beta_k\}_{k=0}^{n-1}$  and  $\{\gamma_k\}_{k=0}^{n-1}$ .

- (b) A function `evaluate_ortho_bases` that evaluates the  $n + 1$  monic orthogonal polynomials  $\varphi_0, \dots, \varphi_n$ .

Input: the set of evaluation points  $\{x_i\}_{i=0}^m$ , and the set of coefficients  $\{\beta_k\}_0^{n-1}$  and  $\{\gamma_k\}_{k=0}^{n-1}$ . (Note that  $\gamma_0$  is not needed).

- (c) A function `approx_coeffs` that computes the coefficients  $\{c_k\}_{k=0}^n$  of the best polynomial approximation  $p_n(x) = \sum_{k=0}^n c_k\varphi_k(x)$  of a continuous function  $f$  in the norm induced by the provided inner product. Indeed,  $p_n$  is the *least squares approximation* of  $f$ , that is the best approximation in 2-norm with the particular inner product defined above.

Input:  $\{x_i\}_{i=0}^m, \{f(x_i)\}_{i=0}^m, \{\beta_k\}_0^{n-1}$  and  $\{\gamma_k\}_{k=0}^{n-1}$ . Output:  $\{c_k\}_{k=0}^n$ .

- (d) A function `evaluate_ortho` that evaluates the approximation polynomial  $p_n(x)$ . Input: a set of evaluation points  $\{x_i\}_{i=0}^m$ , the sets  $\{\beta_k\}_0^{n-1}$  and  $\{\gamma_k\}_{k=0}^{n-1}$ , and the set of approximation coefficients  $\{c_k\}_{k=0}^n$ .

In order to improve efficiency you may use *Clenshaw's recursion formula*:

$$\begin{aligned} y_{n+2} &= y_{n+1} = 0, \\ y_k &= (x - \beta_k)y_{k+1} - \gamma_{k+1}y_{k+2} + c_k, \quad k = n, n-1, \dots, 1, 0. \end{aligned}$$

Then  $p(x) = y_0$ . Note that  $\beta_n, \gamma_n$  and  $\gamma_{n+1}$  are not needed.



nodes  $\{x_i^{\text{ch}}\}_{i=0}^{10}$ . Compare your results with the interpolating polynomial of degree 10 over the two sets of nodes; see Homework 1, Problem 2.

Note: Matlab/Octave `spline` function, implements a not-a-knot twice-differentiable cubic spline, where  $s'(x_0) = s'(x_1)$  and  $s'(x_{n-1}) = s'(x_n)$ . This is not quite a natural spline but it is close to. One can use this function in the case `nat_spline` has not been implemented correctly.