

## CASTING OUT, SLOW AND FAST

### 1. SLOW BUT GENERAL

Leon barely covers the standard “casting out” method. It is an algorithm that works in any vector space  $V$ .

**Input:** A finite set of vectors  $B = \{\mathbf{b}_1, \dots, \mathbf{b}_m\}$  contained in  $V$

**Output:** A subset  $B_m$  of  $B$  with

$$\text{span}(B_m) = \text{span}(B)$$

so that  $B_m$  is a basis for  $\text{span}(B)$ .

**Method:**

- (1) Find the first nonzero vector  $b_{k'}$  in  $B$ . Let  $B_{k'} = \{b_{k'}\}$  and let  $k = k' + 1$ . (Cast out leading zero vectors).
- (2)
  - (a) If  $b_k$  is in  $\text{span}(B_{k-1})$  then let  $B_k = B_{k-1}$ . (Cast out).
  - (b) If  $b_k$  is not in  $\text{span}(B_{k-1})$  then let  $B_k = B_{k-1} \cup \{b_k\}$ . (Keep)
- (3) Let  $k = k + 1$ .
- (4) If  $k \leq m$  repeat 2 and 3.

**Example 1.** Suppose the vector space is that of all 2-by-2 matrices. Find a subset of  $\{\mathbf{b}_1, \dots, \mathbf{b}_5\}$  that is a basis for the span of  $\{\mathbf{b}_1, \dots, \mathbf{b}_5\}$ , where

$$\mathbf{b}_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \mathbf{b}_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 4 \\ 3 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \mathbf{b}_3 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 5 \\ 3 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \mathbf{b}_4 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 3 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \mathbf{b}_5 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Using the casting our method, we throw out  $\mathbf{b}_1$  and start with  $\{\mathbf{b}_2\}$  :

$$B_2 = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 4 \\ 3 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \right\}.$$

**The  $k = 3$  Step:** Is  $\mathbf{b}_3$  in the span of  $B_2$ ? I.e., can we solve

$$r_1 \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 4 \\ 3 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 5 \\ 3 & 1 \end{bmatrix}?$$

Well, certainly not. We get  $r_1 = 1$  and  $4r_1 = 5$  and can't do both. The new vector (matrix) is not in the span of the current set, so add it:

$$B_3 = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 4 \\ 3 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 5 \\ 3 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \right\}.$$

**The  $k = 4$  Step:** Is  $\mathbf{b}_4$  in the span of  $B_3$ ? I.e., can we solve

$$r_1 \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 4 \\ 3 & 1 \end{bmatrix} + r_2 \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 5 \\ 3 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 3 & 1 \end{bmatrix}?$$

This is the same as the equations

$$\begin{aligned} r_1 + r_2 &= 1 \\ 4r_1 + 5r_2 &= 0 \\ 3r_1 + 3r_2 &= 3 \\ r_1 + r_2 &= 1 \end{aligned}$$

which we try to solve

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 4 & 5 & 0 \\ 3 & 3 & 3 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & -4 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

The system has a solution. So we cast out  $\mathbf{b}_4$  :

$$B_4 = B_3 = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 4 \\ 3 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 5 \\ 3 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \right\}.$$

**The  $k = 5$  Step:** Is  $\mathbf{b}_5$  in the span of  $B_4$ ? I.e., can we solve

$$r_1 \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 4 \\ 3 & 1 \end{bmatrix} + r_2 \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 5 \\ 3 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}?$$

This is the same as the equations

$$\begin{aligned} r_1 + r_2 &= 1 \\ 4r_1 + 5r_2 &= 1 \\ 3r_1 + 3r_2 &= 1 \\ r_1 + r_2 &= 1 \end{aligned}$$

which we try to solve

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 4 & 5 & 1 \\ 3 & 3 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & -4 \\ 0 & 0 & -2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

The system does not have a solution. So we keep  $\mathbf{b}_5$  :

$$B_5 = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 4 \\ 3 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 5 \\ 3 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \right\}.$$

Our answer is  $B_5$ .

Now another example, in  $\mathbb{R}^5$ .

**Example 2.** Find a subset of  $\{\mathbf{b}_1, \dots, \mathbf{b}_5\}$  that is a basis for the span of

$$\mathbf{b}_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \\ 1 \\ 2 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}, \mathbf{b}_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}, \mathbf{b}_3 = \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 0 \\ 2 \\ 0 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}, \mathbf{b}_4 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \mathbf{b}_5 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \\ 3 \\ 2 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Using the casting our method, we start with

$$B_1 = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \\ 1 \\ 2 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \right\}.$$

**The  $k = 2$  Step:** Is  $\mathbf{b}_2$  in the span of  $B_1$ ? Can we solve

$$r_1 \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \\ 1 \\ 2 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} ?$$

No. So  $\mathbf{b}_2$  is a keeper:

$$B_2 = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \\ 1 \\ 2 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \right\}.$$

**The  $k = 3$  Step:** Is  $\mathbf{b}_3$  in the span of  $B_2$ ? Can we solve

$$r_1 \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \\ 1 \\ 2 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} + r_2 \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 0 \\ 2 \\ 0 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix} ?$$

Jumping to the augmented matrix of the resulting equations, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 2 \\ 2 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 2 \\ 2 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 2 \end{bmatrix} &\rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 2 \\ 0 & -1 & -4 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & -4 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \\ &\rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 2 \\ 0 & -1 & -4 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

and so this has a solution. We cast-out  $\mathbf{b}_3$  :

$$B_3 = B_2 = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \\ 1 \\ 2 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \right\}.$$

**The  $k = 4$  Step:** Is  $\mathbf{b}_4$  in the span of  $B_3$ ? Can we solve

$$r_1 \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \\ 1 \\ 2 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} + r_2 \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} ?$$

Jumping to the augmented matrix of the resulting equations, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 2 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 2 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} &\rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 2 \\ 0 & -1 & -2 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & -1 & -2 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix} \\ &\rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

and so there is no a solution. We keep  $\mathbf{b}_4$  :

$$B_4 = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \\ 1 \\ 2 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \right\}.$$

**The  $k = 5$  Step:** Is  $\mathbf{b}_5$  in the span of  $B_4$ ? Can we solve

$$r_1 \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \\ 1 \\ 2 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} + r_2 \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} + r_3 \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \\ 3 \\ 2 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix} ?$$

Jumping to the augmented matrix of the resulting equations, we have

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 2 & 1 & 0 & 2 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 3 \\ 2 & 1 & 0 & 2 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 3 \end{bmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & -1 & -2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 2 \\ 0 & -1 & -2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & -1 & -2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

and so there is a solution. We don't use  $\mathbf{b}_5$  :

$$B_5 = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \\ 1 \\ 2 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \right\}.$$

This is our answer.

Well *that* took forever.

## 2. FAST, BUT ONLY IN $\mathbb{R}^n$

If you want to do casting out in the standard Euclidian spaces, there is a much faster way.

(1) Put all the  $\mathbf{b}_k$  in a matrix  $A$  :

$$A = [\mathbf{b}_1 \ \mathbf{b}_2 \ \cdots \ \mathbf{b}_m].$$

(2) Row reduce until you can see the pivot positions:

$$A \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow U.$$

(3) Keep  $b_j$  if there is a pivot position in column  $j$  of  $U$ .

Notice that the pivots in  $U$  tell you which columns of  $A$  to use.

Let's repeat the last example.

**Example 3.** Find a subset of  $\{\mathbf{b}_1, \dots, \mathbf{b}_5\}$  that is a basis for the span of

$$\mathbf{b}_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \\ 1 \\ 2 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}, \mathbf{b}_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}, \mathbf{b}_3 = \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 0 \\ 2 \\ 0 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}, \mathbf{b}_4 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \mathbf{b}_5 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \\ 3 \\ 2 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Let

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 2 & 1 & 1 \\ 2 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 2 \\ 1 & 1 & 2 & 0 & 3 \\ 2 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 2 \\ 1 & 1 & 2 & 0 & 3 \end{bmatrix}$$

and do the row ops needed:

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 2 & 1 & 1 \\ 2 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 2 \\ 1 & 1 & 2 & 0 & 3 \\ 2 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 2 \\ 1 & 1 & 2 & 0 & 3 \end{bmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 2 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & -1 & -4 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 2 \\ 0 & -1 & -4 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 2 \end{bmatrix} \\ \rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 2 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & -1 & -4 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = U$$

There are pivot positions in columns 1, 2 and 4 and so we keep  $\mathbf{b}_1$ ,  $\mathbf{b}_2$  and  $\mathbf{b}_4$ : Answer

$$\left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \\ 1 \\ 2 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \right\}.$$

So why did that work? I'll not give a proof, but notice the following:

In our first solution, we tossed  $\mathbf{b}_3$  because

$$-2 \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \\ 1 \\ 2 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} + 4 \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 0 \\ 2 \\ 0 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}$$

If we had applied the slow algorithm to the columns of  $U$  we would have found

$$-2 \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} + 4 \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ -4 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

and so would have tossed the third column for exactly the same “reason.”

### 3. AS A TEST FOR LINEAR INDEPENDENCE

- Suppose you have  $m$  vectors  $\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_m$  in  $V$ . If the casting out algorithm tells you to *keep all* these vectors, then the set  $\{\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_m\}$  was *linearly independent* to begin with. Otherwise, it wasn't.
- Suppose you know that  $V$  has dimension  $n$  and you have  $n$  vectors  $\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_n$ . If the casting out algorithm tells you to *keep all* the vectors, then  $\{\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_n\}$  was a *basis* for  $V$  to begin with. Otherwise, it wasn't.

Casting out is such a pain in general that these statements are often little help. But, in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , casting out is fast, so these really help. In terms of rank:

- Suppose you have  $m$  vectors  $\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_m$  in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . If

$$\text{rank} \begin{bmatrix} | & | & \cdots & | \\ \mathbf{v}_1 & \mathbf{v}_2 & \cdots & \mathbf{v}_m \\ | & | & \cdots & | \end{bmatrix} = m$$

then  $\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_m$  are linearly independent. If the rank is less, they are dependent.

- Suppose you have  $n$  vectors  $\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_n$  in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . If

$$\text{rank} \begin{bmatrix} | & | & \cdots & | \\ \mathbf{v}_1 & \mathbf{v}_2 & \cdots & \mathbf{v}_n \\ | & | & \cdots & | \end{bmatrix} = n$$

then  $\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_n$  are a basis for  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . If the rank is less, they are not.

Recall: If you have more or less than  $n$  vectors in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , then you don't have a basis.

In terms of row ops, if  $U = \text{rref}(A)$  :

- The columns of  $A$  are linearly independent  $\Leftrightarrow$  there's a pivot in every column of  $U$ .
- The columns of  $A$  are a basis of  $\mathbb{R}^n \Leftrightarrow A$  is  $n$ -by- $n$  and there's a pivot in every column of  $U$ .

Putting together a bunch of stuff:

**Theorem 1.** *The columns of an  $n$ -by- $n$  matrix  $A$  are a basis for  $\mathbb{R}^n$*   
 $\Leftrightarrow$  *there's a pivot in every column of  $U = \text{rref}(A)$*   
 $\Leftrightarrow$   *$A$  is invertible*  
 $\Leftrightarrow$   *$\text{rank}(A) = n$*   
 $\Leftrightarrow$   *$\det(A) \neq 0$ .*