

Sasaki join, transverse Hamiltonian 2-forms, and Extremal Sasaki metrics

Special Session on Symplectic and Contact Structures on Manifolds with Special Holonomy

Charles Boyer

University of New Mexico

January 2014, Baltimore, MD

- Joint work with Christina Tønnesen-Friedman.

- Joint work with Christina Tønnesen-Friedman.
- The geometry (topology) of a Sasakian structure is determined by its transverse Kählerian geometry, i.e. one with transverse holonomy $U(n)$ or a subgroup thereof.

- Joint work with Christina Tønnesen-Friedman.
- The geometry (topology) of a **Sasakian structure** is determined by its **transverse Kählerian geometry**, i.e. one with **transverse holonomy** $U(n)$ or a subgroup thereof.
- We are interested in **Sasakian structures** which admit a transverse **Hamiltonian 2-form** (Apostolov, Calderbank, Gauduchon) of **order 1**.

- Joint work with Christina Tønnesen-Friedman.
- The geometry (topology) of a **Sasakian structure** is determined by its **transverse Kählerian geometry**, i.e. one with **transverse holonomy** $U(n)$ or a subgroup thereof.
- We are interested in **Sasakian structures** which admit a transverse **Hamiltonian 2-form** (Apostolov, Calderbank, Gauduchon) of **order 1**.
- For example Sasakian **join construction** with a weighted 3-sphere S_w^3 .

- Joint work with Christina Tønnesen-Friedman.
- The geometry (topology) of a **Sasakian structure** is determined by its **transverse Kählerian geometry**, i.e. one with **transverse holonomy** $U(n)$ or a subgroup thereof.
- We are interested in **Sasakian structures** which admit a transverse **Hamiltonian 2-form** (Apostolov, Calderbank, Gauduchon) of **order 1**.
- For example Sasakian **join construction** with a weighted 3-sphere S_w^3 .
- Two types of deformations:

- Joint work with Christina Tønnesen-Friedman.
- The geometry (topology) of a **Sasakian structure** is determined by its **transverse Kählerian geometry**, i.e. one with **transverse holonomy** $U(n)$ or a subgroup thereof.
- We are interested in **Sasakian structures** which admit a transverse **Hamiltonian 2-form** (Apostolov, Calderbank, Gauduchon) of **order 1**.
- For example Sasakian **join construction** with a weighted 3-sphere S_w^3 .
- Two types of deformations:
 - 1 Deform contact structure to obtain **extremal Sasaki metrics**.

- Joint work with Christina Tønnesen-Friedman.
- The geometry (topology) of a **Sasakian structure** is determined by its **transverse Kählerian geometry**, i.e. one with **transverse holonomy** $U(n)$ or a subgroup thereof.
- We are interested in **Sasakian structures** which admit a transverse **Hamiltonian 2-form** (Apostolov, Calderbank, Gauduchon) of **order 1**.
- For example Sasakian **join construction** with a weighted 3-sphere S_w^3 .
- Two types of deformations:
 - 1 Deform contact structure to obtain **extremal Sasaki metrics**.
 - 2 Deform in the Sasaki cone to obtain Sasaki metrics of **constant scalar curvature**.

- Joint work with Christina Tønnesen-Friedman.
- The geometry (topology) of a **Sasakian structure** is determined by its **transverse Kählerian geometry**, i.e. one with **transverse holonomy** $U(n)$ or a subgroup thereof.
- We are interested in **Sasakian structures** which admit a transverse **Hamiltonian 2-form** (Apostolov, Calderbank, Gauduchon) of **order 1**.
- For example Sasakian **join construction** with a weighted 3-sphere S_w^3 .
- Two types of deformations:
 - 1 Deform contact structure to obtain **extremal Sasaki metrics**.
 - 2 Deform in the Sasaki cone to obtain Sasaki metrics of **constant scalar curvature**.
- Determine which admit **Sasaki-Einstein** metrics or **Sasaki-eta-Einstein** metrics.

- Joint work with Christina Tønnesen-Friedman.
- The geometry (topology) of a **Sasakian structure** is determined by its **transverse Kählerian geometry**, i.e. one with **transverse holonomy** $U(n)$ or a subgroup thereof.
- We are interested in **Sasakian structures** which admit a transverse **Hamiltonian 2-form** (Apostolov, Calderbank, Gauduchon) of **order 1**.
- For example Sasakian **join construction** with a weighted 3-sphere S_w^3 .
- Two types of deformations:
 - 1 Deform contact structure to obtain **extremal Sasaki metrics**.
 - 2 Deform in the Sasaki cone to obtain Sasaki metrics of **constant scalar curvature**.
- Determine which admit **Sasaki-Einstein** metrics or **Sasaki-eta-Einstein** metrics.
- Determine **diffeomorphism, homeomorphism, homotopy types** when possible.

- Joint work with Christina Tønnesen-Friedman.
- The geometry (topology) of a **Sasakian structure** is determined by its **transverse Kählerian geometry**, i.e. one with **transverse holonomy** $U(n)$ or a subgroup thereof.
- We are interested in **Sasakian structures** which admit a transverse **Hamiltonian 2-form** (Apostolov, Calderbank, Gauduchon) of **order 1**.
- For example Sasakian **join construction** with a weighted 3-sphere S_w^3 .
- Two types of deformations:
 - 1 Deform contact structure to obtain **extremal Sasaki metrics**.
 - 2 Deform in the Sasaki cone to obtain Sasaki metrics of **constant scalar curvature**.
- Determine which admit **Sasaki-Einstein** metrics or **Sasaki-eta-Einstein** metrics.
- Determine **diffeomorphism, homeomorphism, homotopy types** when possible.
- Determine the **contact structures** of Sasaki type on a fixed diffeomorphism type.

- Joint work with Christina Tønnesen-Friedman.
- The geometry (topology) of a **Sasakian structure** is determined by its **transverse Kählerian geometry**, i.e. one with **transverse holonomy** $U(n)$ or a subgroup thereof.
- We are interested in **Sasakian structures** which admit a transverse **Hamiltonian 2-form** (Apostolov, Calderbank, Gauduchon) of order 1.
- For example Sasakian **join construction** with a weighted 3-sphere S_w^3 .
- Two types of deformations:
 - 1 Deform contact structure to obtain **extremal Sasaki metrics**.
 - 2 Deform in the Sasaki cone to obtain Sasaki metrics of **constant scalar curvature**.
- Determine which admit **Sasaki-Einstein** metrics or **Sasaki-eta-Einstein** metrics.
- Determine **diffeomorphism, homeomorphism, homotopy types** when possible.
- Determine the **contact structures** of Sasaki type on a fixed diffeomorphism type.
- Determine the **moduli space of extremal Sasakian structures** within a fixed isotopy class of contact structure.

- Joint work with Christina Tønnesen-Friedman.
- The geometry (topology) of a **Sasakian structure** is determined by its **transverse Kählerian geometry**, i.e. one with **transverse holonomy** $U(n)$ or a subgroup thereof.
- We are interested in **Sasakian structures** which admit a transverse **Hamiltonian 2-form** (Apostolov, Calderbank, Gauduchon) of order 1.
- For example Sasakian **join construction** with a weighted 3-sphere S_w^3 .
- Two types of deformations:
 - 1 Deform contact structure to obtain **extremal Sasaki metrics**.
 - 2 Deform in the Sasaki cone to obtain Sasaki metrics of **constant scalar curvature**.
- Determine which admit **Sasaki-Einstein** metrics or **Sasaki-eta-Einstein** metrics.
- Determine **diffeomorphism, homeomorphism, homotopy types** when possible.
- Determine the **contact structures** of Sasaki type on a fixed diffeomorphism type.
- Determine the **moduli space of extremal Sasakian structures** within a fixed isotopy class of contact structure.
- **Sasaki bouquets** of Sasaki cones can occur when the contactomorphism group has distinct conjugacy classes of maximal tori. For which **diffeomorphism types** do these occur?

Contact manifold

- **Compact Contact Manifold** M of dimension $2n + 1$.

- A **contact 1-form** η such that

$$\eta \wedge (d\eta)^n \neq 0.$$

Contact manifold

- **Compact Contact Manifold** M of dimension $2n + 1$.

- A **contact 1-form** η such that

$$\eta \wedge (d\eta)^n \neq 0.$$

- defines a **contact structure**

$$\eta' \sim \eta \iff \eta' = f\eta$$

for some $f \neq 0$, take $f > 0$. or equivalently a codimension 1 subbundle $\mathcal{D} = \text{Ker } \eta$ of TM with a conformal symplectic structure.

Contact manifold

- **Compact Contact Manifold** M of dimension $2n + 1$.

- A **contact 1-form** η such that

$$\eta \wedge (d\eta)^n \neq 0.$$

- defines a **contact structure**

$$\eta' \sim \eta \iff \eta' = f\eta$$

for some $f \neq 0$, take $f > 0$. or equivalently a codimension 1 subbundle $\mathcal{D} = \text{Ker } \eta$ of TM with a conformal symplectic structure.

- A **contact invariant**: the first Chern class $c_1(\mathcal{D})$

Contact manifold

- **Compact Contact Manifold** M of dimension $2n + 1$.

- A **contact 1-form** η such that

$$\eta \wedge (d\eta)^n \neq 0.$$

- defines a **contact structure**

$$\eta' \sim \eta \iff \eta' = f\eta$$

for some $f \neq 0$, take $f > 0$. or equivalently a codimension 1 subbundle $\mathcal{D} = \text{Ker } \eta$ of TM with a conformal symplectic structure.

- A **contact invariant**: the first Chern class $c_1(\mathcal{D})$
- Unique vector field ξ , called the **Reeb vector field**, satisfying

$$\xi \lrcorner \eta = 1, \quad \xi \lrcorner d\eta = 0.$$

Contact manifold

- **Compact Contact Manifold** M of dimension $2n + 1$.

- A **contact 1-form** η such that

$$\eta \wedge (d\eta)^n \neq 0.$$

- defines a **contact structure**

$$\eta' \sim \eta \iff \eta' = f\eta$$

for some $f \neq 0$, take $f > 0$. or equivalently a codimension 1 subbundle $\mathcal{D} = \text{Ker } \eta$ of TM with a conformal symplectic structure.

- A **contact invariant**: the first Chern class $c_1(\mathcal{D})$
- Unique vector field ξ , called the **Reeb vector field**, satisfying

$$\xi \lrcorner \eta = 1, \quad \xi \lrcorner d\eta = 0.$$

- The **characteristic foliation** \mathcal{F}_ξ : It is called **quasi-regular** if each leaf of \mathcal{F}_ξ passes through any nbd U at most k times. It is **regular** if $k = 1$; otherwise, it is **irregular**.

Contact manifold

- **Compact Contact Manifold** M of dimension $2n + 1$.

- A **contact 1-form** η such that

$$\eta \wedge (d\eta)^n \neq 0.$$

- defines a **contact structure**

$$\eta' \sim \eta \iff \eta' = f\eta$$

for some $f \neq 0$, take $f > 0$. or equivalently a codimension 1 subbundle $\mathcal{D} = \text{Ker } \eta$ of TM with a conformal symplectic structure.

- A **contact invariant**: the first Chern class $c_1(\mathcal{D})$
- Unique vector field ξ , called the **Reeb vector field**, satisfying

$$\xi \lrcorner \eta = 1, \quad \xi \lrcorner d\eta = 0.$$

- The **characteristic foliation** \mathcal{F}_ξ : It is called **quasi-regular** if each leaf of \mathcal{F}_ξ passes through any nbd U at most k times. It is **regular** if $k = 1$; otherwise, it is **irregular**.
- Quasi-regularity is strong, most contact 1-forms are irregular.

Contact manifold

- **Compact Contact Manifold** M of dimension $2n + 1$.

- A **contact 1-form** η such that

$$\eta \wedge (d\eta)^n \neq 0.$$

- defines a **contact structure**

$$\eta' \sim \eta \iff \eta' = f\eta$$

for some $f \neq 0$, take $f > 0$. or equivalently a codimension 1 subbundle $\mathcal{D} = \text{Ker } \eta$ of TM with a conformal symplectic structure.

- A **contact invariant**: the first Chern class $c_1(\mathcal{D})$
- Unique vector field ξ , called the **Reeb vector field**, satisfying

$$\xi \lrcorner \eta = 1, \quad \xi \lrcorner d\eta = 0.$$

- The **characteristic foliation** \mathcal{F}_ξ : It is called **quasi-regular** if each leaf of \mathcal{F}_ξ passes through any nbd U at most k times. It is **regular** if $k = 1$; otherwise, it is **irregular**.
- Quasi-regularity is strong, most contact 1-forms are irregular.
- Contact bundle $\mathcal{D} \rightarrow$ choose **almost complex structure** J extend to an endomorphism Φ with $\Phi\xi = 0$ with a compatible metric

$$g = d\eta \circ (\Phi \otimes \mathbb{I}) + \eta \otimes \eta$$

Quadruple $\mathcal{S} = (\xi, \eta, \Phi, g)$ called **contact metric structure**

Contact manifold

- **Compact Contact Manifold** M of dimension $2n + 1$.

- A **contact 1-form** η such that

$$\eta \wedge (d\eta)^n \neq 0.$$

- defines a **contact structure**

$$\eta' \sim \eta \iff \eta' = f\eta$$

for some $f \neq 0$, take $f > 0$. or equivalently a codimension 1 subbundle $\mathcal{D} = \text{Ker } \eta$ of TM with a conformal symplectic structure.

- A **contact invariant**: the first Chern class $c_1(\mathcal{D})$
- Unique vector field ξ , called the **Reeb vector field**, satisfying

$$\xi \lrcorner \eta = 1, \quad \xi \lrcorner d\eta = 0.$$

- The **characteristic foliation** \mathcal{F}_ξ : It is called **quasi-regular** if each leaf of \mathcal{F}_ξ passes through any nbd U at most k times. It is **regular** if $k = 1$; otherwise, it is **irregular**.
- Quasi-regularity is strong, most contact 1-forms are irregular.
- Contact bundle $\mathcal{D} \rightarrow$ choose **almost complex structure** J extend to an endomorphism Φ with $\Phi\xi = 0$ with a compatible metric

$$g = d\eta \circ (\Phi \otimes \mathbb{I}) + \eta \otimes \eta$$

Quadruple $\mathcal{S} = (\xi, \eta, \Phi, g)$ called **contact metric structure**

- The pair (\mathcal{D}, J) is a **strictly pseudo-convex almost CR structure** (s ψ CR structure).

Definition

The contact metric structure $\mathcal{S} = (\xi, \eta, \Phi, g)$ is **K-contact** if $\mathcal{L}_\xi g = 0$ (or $\mathcal{L}_\xi \Phi = 0$). It is **Sasakian** if in addition $(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{J})$ is integrable and the **Transverse Metric** $g_{\mathcal{D}}$ is Kähler (**Transverse holonomy** $U(n)$). In the latter case we say that the contact structure \mathcal{D} is of **Sasaki type**.

Definition

The contact metric structure $\mathcal{S} = (\xi, \eta, \Phi, g)$ is **K-contact** if $\mathcal{L}_\xi g = 0$ (or $\mathcal{L}_\xi \Phi = 0$). It is **Sasakian** if in addition (\mathcal{D}, J) is integrable and the **Transverse Metric** $g_{\mathcal{D}}$ is Kähler (**Transverse holonomy** $U(n)$). In the latter case we say that the contact structure \mathcal{D} is of **Sasaki type**.

- A Sasakian structure determines a **strictly pseudo-convex CR structure** (\mathcal{D}, J) .

Definition

The contact metric structure $\mathcal{S} = (\xi, \eta, \Phi, g)$ is **K-contact** if $\mathcal{L}_\xi g = 0$ (or $\mathcal{L}_\xi \Phi = 0$). It is **Sasakian** if in addition (\mathcal{D}, J) is integrable and the **Transverse Metric** $g_{\mathcal{D}}$ is Kähler (**Transverse holonomy** $U(n)$). In the latter case we say that the contact structure \mathcal{D} is of **Sasaki type**.

- A Sasakian structure determines a **strictly pseudo-convex CR structure** (\mathcal{D}, J) .

Assumption

The Sasakian structure \mathcal{S} admits the following type of transverse structure:

Definition

The contact metric structure $\mathcal{S} = (\xi, \eta, \Phi, g)$ is **K-contact** if $\mathcal{L}_\xi g = 0$ (or $\mathcal{L}_\xi \Phi = 0$). It is **Sasakian** if in addition (\mathcal{D}, J) is integrable and the **Transverse Metric** $g_{\mathcal{D}}$ is Kähler (**Transverse holonomy** $U(n)$). In the latter case we say that the contact structure \mathcal{D} is of **Sasaki type**.

- A Sasakian structure determines a **strictly pseudo-convex CR structure** (\mathcal{D}, J) .

Assumption

The Sasakian structure \mathcal{S} admits the following type of transverse structure:

- **Transverse Hamiltonian 2-form**: a basic Φ invariant 2-form ϕ satisfying

Definition

The contact metric structure $\mathcal{S} = (\xi, \eta, \Phi, g)$ is **K-contact** if $\mathcal{L}_\xi g = 0$ (or $\mathcal{L}_\xi \Phi = 0$). It is **Sasakian** if in addition (\mathcal{D}, J) is integrable and the **Transverse Metric** $g_{\mathcal{D}}$ is Kähler (**Transverse holonomy** $U(n)$). In the latter case we say that the contact structure \mathcal{D} is of **Sasaki type**.

- A Sasakian structure determines a **strictly pseudo-convex CR structure** (\mathcal{D}, J) .

Assumption

The Sasakian structure \mathcal{S} admits the following type of transverse structure:

- **Transverse Hamiltonian 2-form**: a basic Φ invariant 2-form ϕ satisfying
- $2\nabla_X \phi = 2\eta \wedge (\Phi X \lrcorner \phi) - d\text{tr } \phi \wedge (X \lrcorner d\eta) - d^c \text{tr } \phi \wedge (\Phi X \lrcorner d\eta)$

Definition

The contact metric structure $\mathcal{S} = (\xi, \eta, \Phi, g)$ is **K-contact** if $\mathcal{L}_\xi g = 0$ (or $\mathcal{L}_\xi \Phi = 0$). It is **Sasakian** if in addition (\mathcal{D}, J) is integrable and the **Transverse Metric** $g_{\mathcal{D}}$ is Kähler (**Transverse holonomy** $U(n)$). In the latter case we say that the contact structure \mathcal{D} is of **Sasaki type**.

- A Sasakian structure determines a **strictly pseudo-convex CR structure** (\mathcal{D}, J) .

Assumption

The Sasakian structure \mathcal{S} admits the following type of transverse structure:

- **Transverse Hamiltonian 2-form**: a basic Φ invariant 2-form ϕ satisfying
- $2\nabla_X \phi = 2\eta \wedge (\Phi X \lrcorner \phi) - d\text{tr} \phi \wedge (X \lrcorner d\eta) - d^c \text{tr} \phi \wedge (\Phi X \lrcorner d\eta)$
- special case: Hamiltonian 2-form of **order 1**: $\phi = \frac{-(1+r_3)}{r^2} \omega + 3d_3 \wedge \theta$. Here ω is the curvature form of a certain line bundle, θ is a connection 1-form, r a parameter. (Apostolov, Calderbank, Gauduchon, Tønnesen-Friedman)

Definition

The contact metric structure $\mathcal{S} = (\xi, \eta, \Phi, g)$ is **K-contact** if $\mathcal{L}_\xi g = 0$ (or $\mathcal{L}_\xi \Phi = 0$). It is **Sasakian** if in addition (\mathcal{D}, J) is integrable and the **Transverse Metric** $g_{\mathcal{D}}$ is Kähler (**Transverse holonomy** $U(n)$). In the latter case we say that the contact structure \mathcal{D} is of **Sasaki type**.

- A Sasakian structure determines a **strictly pseudo-convex CR structure** (\mathcal{D}, J) .

Assumption

The Sasakian structure \mathcal{S} admits the following type of transverse structure:

- **Transverse Hamiltonian 2-form**: a basic Φ invariant 2-form ϕ satisfying
- $2\nabla_X \phi = 2\eta \wedge (\Phi X \lrcorner \phi) - d\text{tr} \phi \wedge (X \lrcorner d\eta) - d^c \text{tr} \phi \wedge (\Phi X \lrcorner d\eta)$
- special case: Hamiltonian 2-form of **order 1**: $\phi = \frac{-(1+r_3)}{r^2} \omega + 3d_3 \wedge \theta$. Here ω is the curvature form of a certain line bundle, θ is a connection 1-form, r a parameter. (Apostolov, Calderbank, Gauduchon, Tønnesen-Friedman)
- We call this an **Admissible Transverse Structure**. This is compatible with our next construction.

- **Join Construction:** Given quasi-regular Sasakian manifolds $\pi_j : M_j \longrightarrow \mathcal{Z}_j$ with $\dim M_j = n_j$ for $j = 1, 2$.

The Join Construction

- **Join Construction:** Given quasi-regular Sasakian manifolds $\pi_j : M_j \longrightarrow \mathcal{Z}_j$ with $\text{Dim } M_j = n_j$ for $i = 1, 2$.
- Form (l_1, l_2) -join $\pi : M_1 \star_{l_1, l_2} M_2 \longrightarrow \mathcal{Z}_1 \times \mathcal{Z}_2$ as an S^1 -orbibundle (B-, Galicki, Ornea).

The Join Construction

- **Join Construction:** Given quasi-regular Sasakian manifolds $\pi_j : M_j \longrightarrow \mathcal{Z}_j$ with $\dim M_j = n_j$ for $j = 1, 2$.
- Form (l_1, l_2) -join $\pi : M_1 \star_{l_1, l_2} M_2 \longrightarrow \mathcal{Z}_1 \times \mathcal{Z}_2$ as an S^1 -orbibundle (B-, Galicki, Ornea).
- $M_1 \star_{l_1, l_2} M_2$ has a natural quasi-regular Sasakian structure \mathcal{S}_{l_1, l_2} for all relatively prime positive integers l_1, l_2 . Fixing l_1, l_2 fixes the contact orbifold. It is a smooth manifold iff $\gcd(v_1 l_2, v_2 l_1) = 1$ where v_j is the order of orbifold \mathcal{Z}_j .

The Join Construction

- **Join Construction:** Given quasi-regular Sasakian manifolds $\pi_i : M_i \longrightarrow \mathcal{Z}_i$ with $\dim M_i = n_i$ for $i = 1, 2$.
- Form (l_1, l_2) -join $\pi : M_1 \star_{l_1, l_2} M_2 \longrightarrow \mathcal{Z}_1 \times \mathcal{Z}_2$ as an S^1 -orbibundle (B-,Galicki,Ornea).
- $M_1 \star_{l_1, l_2} M_2$ has a natural quasi-regular Sasakian structure \mathcal{S}_{l_1, l_2} for all relatively prime positive integers l_1, l_2 . Fixing l_1, l_2 fixes the contact orbifold. It is a smooth manifold iff $\gcd(v_1 l_2, v_2 l_1) = 1$ where v_i is the order of orbifold \mathcal{Z}_i .
- The dimension of $M_1 \star_{l_1, l_2} M_2$ is $n_1 + n_2 - 1$.

The Join Construction

- **Join Construction:** Given quasi-regular Sasakian manifolds $\pi_i : M_i \longrightarrow \mathcal{Z}_i$ with $\text{Dim } M_i = n_i$ for $i = 1, 2$.
- Form (l_1, l_2) -join $\pi : M_1 \star_{l_1, l_2} M_2 \longrightarrow \mathcal{Z}_1 \times \mathcal{Z}_2$ as an S^1 -orbibundle (B-,Galicki,Ornea).
- $M_1 \star_{l_1, l_2} M_2$ has a natural quasi-regular Sasakian structure \mathcal{S}_{l_1, l_2} for all relatively prime positive integers l_1, l_2 . Fixing l_1, l_2 fixes the contact orbifold. It is a smooth manifold iff $\gcd(v_1 l_2, v_2 l_1) = 1$ where v_i is the order of orbifold \mathcal{Z}_i .
- The dimension of $M_1 \star_{l_1, l_2} M_2$ is $n_1 + n_2 - 1$.
- The join $M_1 \star_{l_1, l_2} M_2$ has **reducible transverse holonomy** a subgroup of $U(n_1) \times U(n_2)$.

The Join Construction

- **Join Construction:** Given quasi-regular Sasakian manifolds $\pi_i : M_i \longrightarrow \mathcal{Z}_i$ with $\dim M_i = n_i$ for $i = 1, 2$.
- Form (l_1, l_2) -join $\pi : M_1 \star_{l_1, l_2} M_2 \longrightarrow \mathcal{Z}_1 \times \mathcal{Z}_2$ as an S^1 -orbibundle (B-, Galicki, Ornea).
- $M_1 \star_{l_1, l_2} M_2$ has a natural quasi-regular Sasakian structure \mathcal{S}_{l_1, l_2} for all relatively prime positive integers l_1, l_2 . Fixing l_1, l_2 fixes the contact orbifold. It is a smooth manifold iff $\gcd(v_1 l_2, v_2 l_1) = 1$ where v_i is the order of orbifold \mathcal{Z}_i .
- The dimension of $M_1 \star_{l_1, l_2} M_2$ is $n_1 + n_2 - 1$.
- The join $M_1 \star_{l_1, l_2} M_2$ has **reducible transverse holonomy** a subgroup of $U(n_1) \times U(n_2)$.
- Take $\pi_2 : M_2 \longrightarrow \mathcal{Z}_2$ to be the S^1 orbibundle $\pi_2 : S^3_{\mathbf{w}} \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}P^1[\mathbf{w}]$ determined by a weighted S^1 action on S^3 with weights $\mathbf{w} = (w_1, w_2)$ satisfying $\gcd(l_2, l_1 w_i) = 1$, and $M_1 = M$ regular Sasaki manifold whose quotient is a compact Kähler manifold N .

The Join Construction

- **Join Construction:** Given quasi-regular Sasakian manifolds $\pi_i : M_i \longrightarrow \mathcal{Z}_i$ with $\text{Dim } M_i = n_i$ for $i = 1, 2$.
- Form (l_1, l_2) -join $\pi : M_1 \star_{l_1, l_2} M_2 \longrightarrow \mathcal{Z}_1 \times \mathcal{Z}_2$ as an S^1 -orbibundle (B-,Galicki,Ornea).
- $M_1 \star_{l_1, l_2} M_2$ has a natural quasi-regular Sasakian structure \mathcal{S}_{l_1, l_2} for all relatively prime positive integers l_1, l_2 . Fixing l_1, l_2 fixes the contact orbifold. It is a smooth manifold iff $\text{gcd}(v_1 l_2, v_2 l_1) = 1$ where v_i is the order of orbifold \mathcal{Z}_i .
- The dimension of $M_1 \star_{l_1, l_2} M_2$ is $n_1 + n_2 - 1$.
- The join $M_1 \star_{l_1, l_2} M_2$ has **reducible transverse holonomy** a subgroup of $U(n_1) \times U(n_2)$.
- Take $\pi_2 : M_2 \longrightarrow \mathcal{Z}_2$ to be the S^1 orbibundle $\pi_2 : S^3_{\mathbf{w}} \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}P^1[\mathbf{w}]$ determined by a weighted S^1 action on S^3 with weights $\mathbf{w} = (w_1, w_2)$ satisfying $\text{gcd}(l_2, l_1 w_i) = 1$, and $M_1 = M$ regular Sasaki manifold whose quotient is a compact Kähler manifold N .
- In this case the **Join Construction** and **Admissible Transverse Structure** fit as hand and glove.

The Join Construction

- **Join Construction:** Given quasi-regular Sasakian manifolds $\pi_i : M_i \longrightarrow \mathcal{Z}_i$ with $\dim M_i = n_i$ for $i = 1, 2$.
- Form (l_1, l_2) -join $\pi : M_1 \star_{l_1, l_2} M_2 \longrightarrow \mathcal{Z}_1 \times \mathcal{Z}_2$ as an S^1 -orbibundle (B-, Galicki, Ornea).
- $M_1 \star_{l_1, l_2} M_2$ has a natural quasi-regular Sasakian structure \mathcal{S}_{l_1, l_2} for all relatively prime positive integers l_1, l_2 . Fixing l_1, l_2 fixes the contact orbifold. It is a smooth manifold iff $\gcd(v_1 l_2, v_2 l_1) = 1$ where v_i is the order of orbifold \mathcal{Z}_i .
- The dimension of $M_1 \star_{l_1, l_2} M_2$ is $n_1 + n_2 - 1$.
- The join $M_1 \star_{l_1, l_2} M_2$ has **reducible transverse holonomy** a subgroup of $U(n_1) \times U(n_2)$.
- Take $\pi_2 : M_2 \longrightarrow \mathcal{Z}_2$ to be the S^1 orbibundle $\pi_2 : S^3_{\mathbf{w}} \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}P^1[\mathbf{w}]$ determined by a weighted S^1 action on S^3 with weights $\mathbf{w} = (w_1, w_2)$ satisfying $\gcd(l_2, l_1 w_i) = 1$, and $M_1 = M$ regular Sasaki manifold whose quotient is a compact Kähler manifold N .
- In this case the **Join Construction** and **Admissible Transverse Structure** fit as hand and glove.
- The **transverse holonomy** of $M \star_{l_1, l_2} S^3_{\mathbf{w}}$ is generically $U(n_1) \times U(2)$.

The Join Construction

- **Join Construction:** Given quasi-regular Sasakian manifolds $\pi_i : M_i \longrightarrow \mathcal{Z}_i$ with $\dim M_i = n_i$ for $i = 1, 2$.
- Form (l_1, l_2) -join $\pi : M_1 \star_{l_1, l_2} M_2 \longrightarrow \mathcal{Z}_1 \times \mathcal{Z}_2$ as an S^1 -orbibundle (B-, Galicki, Ornea).
- $M_1 \star_{l_1, l_2} M_2$ has a natural quasi-regular Sasakian structure \mathcal{S}_{l_1, l_2} for all relatively prime positive integers l_1, l_2 . Fixing l_1, l_2 fixes the contact orbifold. It is a smooth manifold iff $\gcd(v_1 l_2, v_2 l_1) = 1$ where v_i is the order of orbifold \mathcal{Z}_i .
- The dimension of $M_1 \star_{l_1, l_2} M_2$ is $n_1 + n_2 - 1$.
- The join $M_1 \star_{l_1, l_2} M_2$ has **reducible transverse holonomy** a subgroup of $U(n_1) \times U(n_2)$.
- Take $\pi_2 : M_2 \longrightarrow \mathcal{Z}_2$ to be the S^1 orbibundle $\pi_2 : S^3_{\mathbf{w}} \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}P^1[\mathbf{w}]$ determined by a weighted S^1 action on S^3 with weights $\mathbf{w} = (w_1, w_2)$ satisfying $\gcd(l_2, l_1 w_i) = 1$, and $M_1 = M$ regular Sasaki manifold whose quotient is a compact Kähler manifold N .
- In this case the **Join Construction** and **Admissible Transverse Structure** fit as hand and glove.
- The **transverse holonomy** of $M \star_{l_1, l_2} S^3_{\mathbf{w}}$ is generically $U(n_1) \times U(2)$.
- An S^1 orbibundle $M \star_{l_1, l_2} S^3_{\mathbf{w}} \longrightarrow N \times \mathbb{C}P^1[\mathbf{w}]$, where N is compact Kähler.

The Join Construction

- **Join Construction:** Given quasi-regular Sasakian manifolds $\pi_i : M_i \longrightarrow \mathcal{Z}_i$ with $\dim M_i = n_i$ for $i = 1, 2$.
- Form (l_1, l_2) -join $\pi : M_1 \star_{l_1, l_2} M_2 \longrightarrow \mathcal{Z}_1 \times \mathcal{Z}_2$ as an S^1 -orbibundle (B-, Galicki, Ornea).
- $M_1 \star_{l_1, l_2} M_2$ has a natural quasi-regular Sasakian structure \mathcal{S}_{l_1, l_2} for all relatively prime positive integers l_1, l_2 . Fixing l_1, l_2 fixes the contact orbifold. It is a smooth manifold iff $\gcd(v_1 l_2, v_2 l_1) = 1$ where v_i is the order of orbifold \mathcal{Z}_i .
- The dimension of $M_1 \star_{l_1, l_2} M_2$ is $n_1 + n_2 - 1$.
- The join $M_1 \star_{l_1, l_2} M_2$ has **reducible transverse holonomy** a subgroup of $U(n_1) \times U(n_2)$.
- Take $\pi_2 : M_2 \longrightarrow \mathcal{Z}_2$ to be the S^1 orbibundle $\pi_2 : S^3_{\mathbf{w}} \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}P^1[\mathbf{w}]$ determined by a weighted S^1 action on S^3 with weights $\mathbf{w} = (w_1, w_2)$ satisfying $\gcd(l_2, l_1 w_i) = 1$, and $M_1 = M$ regular Sasaki manifold whose quotient is a compact Kähler manifold N .
- In this case the **Join Construction** and **Admissible Transverse Structure** fit as hand and glove.
- The **transverse holonomy** of $M \star_{l_1, l_2} S^3_{\mathbf{w}}$ is generically $U(n_1) \times U(2)$.
- An S^1 orbibundle $M \star_{l_1, l_2} S^3_{\mathbf{w}} \longrightarrow N \times \mathbb{C}P^1[\mathbf{w}]$, where N is compact Kähler.
- The join $M \star_{l_1, l_2} S^3_{\mathbf{w}}$ can be realized as a lens space bundle over N with fiber the lens space $L(l_2; l_1 w_1, l_1 w_2)$.

Two Types of Deformations of Sasakian Structures

- First type: Fix **contact** structure \mathcal{D} , deform **characteristic** foliation \mathcal{F} . This gives rise to **Sasaki cones** and **Sasaki bouquets**.

Two Types of Deformations of Sasakian Structures

- First type: Fix **contact** structure \mathcal{D} , deform **characteristic** foliation \mathcal{F} . This gives rise to **Sasaki cones** and **Sasaki bouquets**.
- After this type of deformation the **transverse holonomy** becomes **irreducible**.

Two Types of Deformations of Sasakian Structures

- First type: Fix **contact** structure \mathcal{D} , deform **characteristic** foliation \mathcal{F} . This gives rise to **Sasaki cones** and **Sasaki bouquets**.
- After this type of deformation the **transverse holonomy** becomes **irreducible**.
- Second type: Fix **characteristic** foliation \mathcal{F} , deform **contact structure** \mathcal{D} . This is used to obtain **extremal Sasaki metrics**.

Two Types of Deformations of Sasakian Structures

- First type: Fix **contact** structure \mathcal{D} , deform **characteristic** foliation \mathcal{F} . This gives rise to **Sasaki cones** and **Sasaki bouquets**.
- After this type of deformation the **transverse holonomy** becomes **irreducible**.
- Second type: Fix **characteristic** foliation \mathcal{F} , deform **contact structure** \mathcal{D} . This is used to obtain **extremal Sasaki metrics**.
- This type of deformation does not change the **transverse holonomy** nor the **isotopy class** of contact structure.

Sasaki cones and bouquets

- Given a contact structure \mathcal{D} of Sasaki type with a space of **compatible CR structures** $\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{D})$.

Sasaki cones and bouquets

- Given a contact structure \mathcal{D} of Sasaki type with a space of **compatible CR structures** $\mathcal{J}(\mathcal{D})$.
- a map $\Omega : \mathcal{J}(\mathcal{D}) \rightarrow \{\text{conjugacy classes of tori in the contactomorphism group } \mathcal{C}\text{on}(M, \mathcal{D})\}$

Sasaki cones and bouquets

- Given a contact structure \mathcal{D} of Sasaki type with a space of **compatible CR structures** $\mathcal{J}(\mathcal{D})$.
- a map $\Omega : \mathcal{J}(\mathcal{D}) \rightarrow \{\text{conjugacy classes of tori in the contactomorphism group } \mathcal{C}on(M, \mathcal{D})\}$
- Maximal torus T^k , with Lie algebra \mathfrak{t}_k , in Sasaki automorphism group $\mathcal{A}ut(\mathcal{S}) \subset \mathcal{C}on(M, \mathcal{D})$.

Sasaki cones and bouquets

- Given a contact structure \mathcal{D} of Sasaki type with a space of **compatible CR structures** $\mathcal{J}(\mathcal{D})$.
- a map $\Omega : \mathcal{J}(\mathcal{D}) \rightarrow \{\text{conjugacy classes of tori in the contactomorphism group } \mathcal{C}\text{on}(M, \mathcal{D})\}$
- Maximal torus T^k , with Lie algebra \mathfrak{t}_k , in Sasaki automorphism group $\mathcal{A}\text{ut}(\mathcal{S}) \subset \mathcal{C}\text{on}(M, \mathcal{D})$.
- unreduced **Sasaki cone**:

$$\mathfrak{t}_k^+(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{J}) = \{\xi' \in \mathfrak{t}_k \mid \eta(\xi') > 0, \text{ s.t. } \mathcal{S}' = (\xi', \eta', \Phi', g') \in (\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{J}) \text{ is Sasakian}\}.$$

Sasaki cones and bouquets

- Given a contact structure \mathcal{D} of Sasaki type with a space of **compatible CR structures** $\mathcal{J}(\mathcal{D})$.
- a map $\Omega : \mathcal{J}(\mathcal{D}) \rightarrow \{\text{conjugacy classes of tori in the contactomorphism group } \mathcal{C}\text{on}(M, \mathcal{D})\}$
- Maximal torus T^k , with Lie algebra \mathfrak{t}_k , in Sasaki automorphism group $\mathcal{A}\text{ut}(\mathcal{S}) \subset \mathcal{C}\text{on}(M, \mathcal{D})$.
- unreduced **Sasaki cone**:

$$\mathfrak{t}_k^+(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{J}) = \{\xi' \in \mathfrak{t}_k \mid \eta(\xi') > 0, \text{ s.t. } \mathcal{S}' = (\xi', \eta', \Phi', g') \in (\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{J}) \text{ is Sasakian}\}.$$

- When $(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{J})$ is fixed, a choice of $\xi' \in \mathfrak{t}_k^+$ determines the Sasakian structure \mathcal{S}' uniquely.

Sasaki cones and bouquets

- Given a contact structure \mathcal{D} of Sasaki type with a space of **compatible CR structures** $\mathcal{J}(\mathcal{D})$.
- a map $\Omega: \mathcal{J}(\mathcal{D}) \rightarrow \{\text{conjugacy classes of tori in the contactomorphism group } \mathcal{C}\text{on}(M, \mathcal{D})\}$
- Maximal torus T^k , with Lie algebra \mathfrak{t}_k , in Sasaki automorphism group $\mathcal{A}\text{ut}(\mathcal{S}) \subset \mathcal{C}\text{on}(M, \mathcal{D})$.
- unreduced **Sasaki cone**:

$$\mathfrak{t}_k^+(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{J}) = \{\xi' \in \mathfrak{t}_k \mid \eta(\xi') > 0, \text{ s.t. } \mathcal{S}' = (\xi', \eta', \Phi', g') \in (\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{J}) \text{ is Sasakian}\}.$$

- When $(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{J})$ is fixed, a choice of $\xi' \in \mathfrak{t}_k^+$ determines the Sasakian structure \mathcal{S}' uniquely.
- Sasaki cones and bouquets work equally well for **K-contact** structures.

Sasaki cones and bouquets

- Given a contact structure \mathcal{D} of Sasaki type with a space of **compatible CR structures** $\mathcal{J}(\mathcal{D})$.
- a map $\Omega : \mathcal{J}(\mathcal{D}) \rightarrow \{\text{conjugacy classes of tori in the contactomorphism group } \mathcal{C}\text{on}(M, \mathcal{D})\}$
- Maximal torus T^k , with Lie algebra \mathfrak{t}_k , in Sasaki automorphism group $\mathcal{A}\text{ut}(\mathcal{S}) \subset \mathcal{C}\text{on}(M, \mathcal{D})$.
- unreduced **Sasaki cone**:

$$\mathfrak{t}_k^+(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{J}) = \{\xi' \in \mathfrak{t}_k \mid \eta(\xi') > 0, \text{ s.t. } \mathcal{S}' = (\xi', \eta', \Phi', g') \in (\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{J}) \text{ is Sasakian}\}.$$

- When $(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{J})$ is fixed, a choice of $\xi' \in \mathfrak{t}_k^+$ determines the Sasakian structure \mathcal{S}' uniquely.
- Sasaki cones and bouquets work equally well for **K-contact** structures.
- finite dim'l **moduli of Sasakian structures** within CR structure, the **Sasaki cone**
 $\kappa(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{J}) = \mathfrak{t}_k^+(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{J})/\mathcal{W}(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{J})$ where \mathcal{W} is the Weyl group of $\mathcal{C}\mathfrak{R}(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{J})$.

Sasaki cones and bouquets

- Given a contact structure \mathcal{D} of Sasaki type with a space of **compatible CR structures** $\mathcal{J}(\mathcal{D})$.
- a map $\Omega : \mathcal{J}(\mathcal{D}) \rightarrow \{\text{conjugacy classes of tori in the contactomorphism group } \mathcal{C}\text{on}(M, \mathcal{D})\}$
- Maximal torus T^k , with Lie algebra \mathfrak{t}_k , in Sasaki automorphism group $\mathcal{A}\text{ut}(\mathcal{S}) \subset \mathcal{C}\text{on}(M, \mathcal{D})$.
- unreduced **Sasaki cone**:

$$\mathfrak{t}_k^+(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{J}) = \{\xi' \in \mathfrak{t}_k \mid \eta(\xi') > 0, \text{ s.t. } \mathcal{S}' = (\xi', \eta', \Phi', g') \in (\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{J}) \text{ is Sasakian}\}.$$

- When $(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{J})$ is fixed, a choice of $\xi' \in \mathfrak{t}_k^+$ determines the Sasakian structure \mathcal{S}' uniquely.
- Sasaki cones and bouquets work equally well for **K-contact** structures.
- finite dim'l **moduli of Sasakian structures** within CR structure, the **Sasaki cone** $\kappa(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{J}) = \mathfrak{t}_k^+(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{J})/\mathcal{W}(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{J})$ where \mathcal{W} is the Weyl group of $\mathcal{C}\mathfrak{R}(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{J})$.
- If M is Sasakian manifold with $\dim M = 2n + 1$ then $1 \leq \dim \kappa(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{J}) \leq n + 1$.

Sasaki cones and bouquets

- Given a contact structure \mathcal{D} of Sasaki type with a space of **compatible CR structures** $\mathcal{J}(\mathcal{D})$.
- a map $\Omega: \mathcal{J}(\mathcal{D}) \rightarrow \{\text{conjugacy classes of tori in the contactomorphism group } \mathcal{C}\text{on}(M, \mathcal{D})\}$
- Maximal torus T^k , with Lie algebra \mathfrak{t}_k , in Sasaki automorphism group $\mathcal{A}\text{ut}(\mathcal{S}) \subset \mathcal{C}\text{on}(M, \mathcal{D})$.
- unreduced **Sasaki cone**:

$$\mathfrak{t}_k^+(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{J}) = \{\xi' \in \mathfrak{t}_k \mid \eta(\xi') > 0, \text{ s.t. } \mathcal{S}' = (\xi', \eta', \Phi', g') \in (\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{J}) \text{ is Sasakian}\}.$$

- When $(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{J})$ is fixed, a choice of $\xi' \in \mathfrak{t}_k^+$ determines the Sasakian structure \mathcal{S}' uniquely.
- Sasaki cones and bouquets work equally well for **K-contact** structures.
- finite dim'l **moduli of Sasakian structures** within CR structure, the **Sasaki cone** $\kappa(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{J}) = \mathfrak{t}_k^+(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{J})/\mathcal{W}(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{J})$ where \mathcal{W} is the Weyl group of $\mathcal{C}\mathfrak{R}(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{J})$.
- If M is Sasakian manifold with $\dim M = 2n + 1$ then $1 \leq \dim \kappa(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{J}) \leq n + 1$.
- When $\dim \kappa(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{J}) = n + 1$ we say that M is **toric Sasakian**.

Sasaki cones and bouquets

- Given a contact structure \mathcal{D} of Sasaki type with a space of **compatible CR structures** $\mathcal{J}(\mathcal{D})$.
- a map $\Omega : \mathcal{J}(\mathcal{D}) \rightarrow \{\text{conjugacy classes of tori in the contactomorphism group } \mathcal{C}\text{on}(M, \mathcal{D})\}$
- Maximal torus T^k , with Lie algebra \mathfrak{t}_k , in Sasaki automorphism group $\mathcal{A}\text{ut}(\mathcal{S}) \subset \mathcal{C}\text{on}(M, \mathcal{D})$.
- unreduced **Sasaki cone**:

$$\mathfrak{t}_k^+(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{J}) = \{\xi' \in \mathfrak{t}_k \mid \eta(\xi') > 0, \text{ s.t. } \mathcal{S}' = (\xi', \eta', \Phi', g') \in (\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{J}) \text{ is Sasakian}\}.$$

- When $(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{J})$ is fixed, a choice of $\xi' \in \mathfrak{t}_k^+$ determines the Sasakian structure \mathcal{S}' uniquely.
- Sasaki cones and bouquets work equally well for **K-contact** structures.
- finite dim'l **moduli of Sasakian structures** within CR structure, the **Sasaki cone** $\kappa(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{J}) = \mathfrak{t}_k^+(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{J})/\mathcal{W}(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{J})$ where \mathcal{W} is the Weyl group of $\mathcal{C}\mathfrak{R}(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{J})$.
- If M is Sasakian manifold with $\dim M = 2n + 1$ then $1 \leq \dim \kappa(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{J}) \leq n + 1$.
- When $\dim \kappa(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{J}) = n + 1$ we say that M is **toric Sasakian**.
- For the join of 2 Sasaki manifolds M_j , if $\dim \kappa(\mathcal{D}_j, \mathcal{J}_j) = k_j$, then $\dim \kappa(\mathcal{D}_1 + \mathcal{D}_2, \mathcal{J}_1 + \mathcal{J}_2) = k_1 + k_2 - 1$.

Sasaki cones and bouquets

- Given a contact structure \mathcal{D} of Sasaki type with a space of **compatible CR structures** $\mathcal{J}(\mathcal{D})$.
- a map $\Omega : \mathcal{J}(\mathcal{D}) \rightarrow \{\text{conjugacy classes of tori in the contactomorphism group } \mathcal{C}\text{on}(M, \mathcal{D})\}$
- Maximal torus T^k , with Lie algebra \mathfrak{t}_k , in Sasaki automorphism group $\mathcal{A}\text{ut}(\mathcal{S}) \subset \mathcal{C}\text{on}(M, \mathcal{D})$.
- unreduced **Sasaki cone**:

$$\mathfrak{t}_k^+(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{J}) = \{\xi' \in \mathfrak{t}_k \mid \eta(\xi') > 0, \text{ s.t. } \mathcal{S}' = (\xi', \eta', \Phi', g') \in (\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{J}) \text{ is Sasakian}\}.$$

- When $(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{J})$ is fixed, a choice of $\xi' \in \mathfrak{t}_k^+$ determines the Sasakian structure \mathcal{S}' uniquely.
- Sasaki cones and bouquets work equally well for **K-contact** structures.
- finite dim'l **moduli of Sasakian structures** within CR structure, the **Sasaki cone** $\kappa(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{J}) = \mathfrak{t}_k^+(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{J})/\mathcal{W}(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{J})$ where \mathcal{W} is the Weyl group of $\mathcal{C}\mathfrak{R}(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{J})$.
- If M is Sasakian manifold with $\dim M = 2n + 1$ then $1 \leq \dim \kappa(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{J}) \leq n + 1$.
- When $\dim \kappa(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{J}) = n + 1$ we say that M is **toric Sasakian**.
- For the join of 2 Sasaki manifolds M_j , if $\dim \kappa(\mathcal{D}_j, \mathcal{J}_j) = k_j$, then $\dim \kappa(\mathcal{D}_1 + \mathcal{D}_2, \mathcal{J}_1 + \mathcal{J}_2) = k_1 + k_2 - 1$.
- In particular, if M_j are **toric Sasakian** manifolds, then so is the join $M_1 \star_{l_1, l_2} M_2$.

Sasaki cones and bouquets

- Given a contact structure \mathcal{D} of Sasaki type with a space of **compatible CR structures** $\mathcal{J}(\mathcal{D})$.
- a map $\Omega : \mathcal{J}(\mathcal{D}) \rightarrow \{\text{conjugacy classes of tori in the contactomorphism group } \mathcal{C}\text{on}(M, \mathcal{D})\}$
- Maximal torus T^k , with Lie algebra \mathfrak{t}_k , in Sasaki automorphism group $\mathcal{A}\text{ut}(S) \subset \mathcal{C}\text{on}(M, \mathcal{D})$.
- unreduced **Sasaki cone**:

$$\mathfrak{t}_k^+(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{J}) = \{\xi' \in \mathfrak{t}_k \mid \eta(\xi') > 0, \text{ s.t. } \mathcal{S}' = (\xi', \eta', \Phi', g') \in (\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{J}) \text{ is Sasakian}\}.$$

- When $(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{J})$ is fixed, a choice of $\xi' \in \mathfrak{t}_k^+$ determines the Sasakian structure \mathcal{S}' uniquely.
- Sasaki cones and bouquets work equally well for **K-contact** structures.
- finite dim'l **moduli of Sasakian structures** within CR structure, the **Sasaki cone** $\kappa(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{J}) = \mathfrak{t}_k^+(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{J})/\mathcal{W}(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{J})$ where \mathcal{W} is the Weyl group of $\mathcal{C}\mathfrak{R}(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{J})$.
- If M is Sasakian manifold with $\dim M = 2n + 1$ then $1 \leq \dim \kappa(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{J}) \leq n + 1$.
- When $\dim \kappa(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{J}) = n + 1$ we say that M is **toric Sasakian**.
- For the join of 2 Sasaki manifolds M_j , if $\dim \kappa(\mathcal{D}_j, \mathcal{J}_j) = k_j$, then $\dim \kappa(\mathcal{D}_1 + \mathcal{D}_2, \mathcal{J}_1 + \mathcal{J}_2) = k_1 + k_2 - 1$.
- In particular, if M_j are **toric Sasakian** manifolds, then so is the join $M_1 \star_{l_1, l_2} M_2$.
- A given \mathcal{D} can have many Sasaki cones $\kappa(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{J}_\alpha)$ labelled by distinct complex structures. Get **bouquet** $\bigcup_{\alpha} \kappa(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{J}_\alpha)$ of Sasaki cones.

Sasaki cones and bouquets

- Given a contact structure \mathcal{D} of Sasaki type with a space of **compatible CR structures** $\mathcal{J}(\mathcal{D})$.
- a map $\Omega : \mathcal{J}(\mathcal{D}) \rightarrow \{\text{conjugacy classes of tori in the contactomorphism group } \mathcal{C}\text{on}(M, \mathcal{D})\}$
- Maximal torus T^k , with Lie algebra \mathfrak{t}_k , in Sasaki automorphism group $\mathcal{A}\text{ut}(S) \subset \mathcal{C}\text{on}(M, \mathcal{D})$.
- unreduced **Sasaki cone**:

$$\mathfrak{t}_k^+(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{J}) = \{\xi' \in \mathfrak{t}_k \mid \eta(\xi') > 0, \text{ s.t. } \mathcal{S}' = (\xi', \eta', \Phi', g') \in (\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{J}) \text{ is Sasakian}\}.$$

- When $(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{J})$ is fixed, a choice of $\xi' \in \mathfrak{t}_k^+$ determines the Sasakian structure \mathcal{S}' uniquely.
- Sasaki cones and bouquets work equally well for **K-contact** structures.
- finite dim'l **moduli of Sasakian structures** within CR structure, the **Sasaki cone** $\kappa(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{J}) = \mathfrak{t}_k^+(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{J})/\mathcal{W}(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{J})$ where \mathcal{W} is the Weyl group of $\mathcal{C}\mathfrak{R}(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{J})$.
- If M is Sasakian manifold with $\dim M = 2n + 1$ then $1 \leq \dim \kappa(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{J}) \leq n + 1$.
- When $\dim \kappa(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{J}) = n + 1$ we say that M is **toric Sasakian**.
- For the join of 2 Sasaki manifolds M_j , if $\dim \kappa(\mathcal{D}_j, \mathcal{J}_j) = k_j$, then $\dim \kappa(\mathcal{D}_1 + \mathcal{D}_2, \mathcal{J}_1 + \mathcal{J}_2) = k_1 + k_2 - 1$.
- In particular, if M_j are **toric Sasakian** manifolds, then so is the join $M_1 \star_{l_1, l_2} M_2$.
- A given \mathcal{D} can have many Sasaki cones $\kappa(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{J}_\alpha)$ labelled by distinct complex structures. Get **bouquet** $\bigcup_{\alpha} \kappa(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{J}_\alpha)$ of Sasaki cones.
- A bouquet consisting of N Sasaki cones is called an **N-bouquet**, denoted by \mathfrak{B}_N . The Sasaki cones in an N-bouquet can have different dimension.

Sasaki cones and bouquets

- Given a contact structure \mathcal{D} of Sasaki type with a space of **compatible CR structures** $\mathcal{J}(\mathcal{D})$.
- a map $\Omega : \mathcal{J}(\mathcal{D}) \rightarrow \{\text{conjugacy classes of tori in the contactomorphism group } \mathcal{C}\text{on}(M, \mathcal{D})\}$
- Maximal torus T^k , with Lie algebra \mathfrak{t}_k , in Sasaki automorphism group $\mathcal{A}\text{ut}(S) \subset \mathcal{C}\text{on}(M, \mathcal{D})$.
- unreduced **Sasaki cone**:

$$\mathfrak{t}_k^+(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{J}) = \{\xi' \in \mathfrak{t}_k \mid \eta(\xi') > 0, \text{ s.t. } \mathcal{S}' = (\xi', \eta', \Phi', g') \in (\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{J}) \text{ is Sasakian}\}.$$

- When $(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{J})$ is fixed, a choice of $\xi' \in \mathfrak{t}_k^+$ determines the Sasakian structure \mathcal{S}' uniquely.
- Sasaki cones and bouquets work equally well for **K-contact** structures.
- finite dim'l **moduli of Sasakian structures** within CR structure, the **Sasaki cone** $\kappa(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{J}) = \mathfrak{t}_k^+(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{J})/\mathcal{W}(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{J})$ where \mathcal{W} is the Weyl group of $\mathcal{C}\mathfrak{R}(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{J})$.
- If M is Sasakian manifold with $\dim M = 2n + 1$ then $1 \leq \dim \kappa(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{J}) \leq n + 1$.
- When $\dim \kappa(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{J}) = n + 1$ we say that M is **toric Sasakian**.
- For the join of 2 Sasaki manifolds M_j , if $\dim \kappa(\mathcal{D}_j, \mathcal{J}_j) = k_j$, then $\dim \kappa(\mathcal{D}_1 + \mathcal{D}_2, \mathcal{J}_1 + \mathcal{J}_2) = k_1 + k_2 - 1$.
- In particular, if M_j are **toric Sasakian** manifolds, then so is the join $M_1 *_{l_1, l_2} M_2$.
- A given \mathcal{D} can have many Sasaki cones $\kappa(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{J}_\alpha)$ labelled by distinct complex structures. Get **bouquet** $\bigcup_{\alpha} \kappa(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{J}_\alpha)$ of Sasaki cones.
- A bouquet consisting of N Sasaki cones is called an **N-bouquet**, denoted by \mathfrak{B}_N . The Sasaki cones in an N-bouquet can have different dimension.
- The distinct Sasaki cones $\kappa(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{J}_\alpha)$'s correspond to distinct **conjugacy classes of tori** in $\mathcal{C}\text{on}(M, \mathcal{D})$. They can be distinguished by **equivariant Gromov-Witten invariants**.

Extremal Sasakian metrics (B-Galicki-Simanca)

- Sasakian structure $\mathcal{S} = (\xi, \eta, \Phi, g)$ with scalar curvature s_g .

Extremal Sasakian metrics (B-Galicki-Simanca)

- Sasakian structure $\mathcal{S} = (\xi, \eta, \Phi, g)$ with scalar curvature s_g .
- Calabi-Sasaki Energy functional $E(g) = \int_M s_g^2 d\mu_g$,

Extremal Sasakian metrics (B-Galicki-Simanca)

- Sasakian structure $\mathcal{S} = (\xi, \eta, \Phi, g)$ with scalar curvature s_g .
- Calabi-Sasaki Energy functional $E(g) = \int_M s_g^2 d\mu_g$,
- Deform contact structure $\eta \mapsto \eta + td^c\varphi$ within its isotopy class where φ is basic.

Extremal Sasakian metrics (B-Galicki-Simanca)

- Sasakian structure $\mathcal{S} = (\xi, \eta, \Phi, g)$ with scalar curvature s_g .
- Calabi-Sasaki Energy functional $E(g) = \int_M s_g^2 d\mu_g$,
- Deform contact structure $\eta \mapsto \eta + td^c\varphi$ within its isotopy class where φ is basic.
- Variation gives critical point of $E(g) \iff \partial_g^\# s_g$ is transversely holomorphic.

Extremal Sasakian metrics (B-Galicki-Simanca)

- Sasakian structure $\mathcal{S} = (\xi, \eta, \Phi, g)$ with scalar curvature s_g .
- Calabi-Sasaki Energy functional $E(g) = \int_M s_g^2 d\mu_g$,
- Deform contact structure $\eta \mapsto \eta + td^c\varphi$ within its isotopy class where φ is basic.
- Variation gives critical point of $E(g) \iff \partial_g^\# s_g$ is transversely holomorphic.
- We say that g is **extremal** if it is critical point of E .

Extremal Sasakian metrics (B-Galicki-Simanca)

- Sasakian structure $\mathcal{S} = (\xi, \eta, \Phi, g)$ with scalar curvature s_g .
- Calabi-Sasaki Energy functional $E(g) = \int_M s_g^2 d\mu_g$,
- Deform contact structure $\eta \mapsto \eta + td^c\varphi$ within its isotopy class where φ is basic.
- Variation gives critical point of $E(g) \iff \partial_g^\# s_g$ is transversely holomorphic.
- We say that g is **extremal** if it is critical point of E .
- g is **extremal Sasaki metric** \iff the transverse metric $g_{\mathcal{D}}$ is **extremal Kähler metric**.

Extremal Sasakian metrics (B-Galicki-Simanca)

- Sasakian structure $\mathcal{S} = (\xi, \eta, \Phi, g)$ with scalar curvature s_g .
- Calabi-Sasaki Energy functional $E(g) = \int_M s_g^2 d\mu_g$,
- Deform contact structure $\eta \mapsto \eta + td^c\varphi$ within its isotopy class where φ is basic.
- Variation gives critical point of $E(g) \iff \partial_g^\# s_g$ is transversely holomorphic.
- We say that g is **extremal** if it is critical point of E .
- g is **extremal Sasaki metric** \iff the transverse metric $g_{\mathcal{D}}$ is **extremal Kähler metric**.
- Important special case: **constant scalar curvature Sasaki (CSC)** metrics.

Extremal Sasakian metrics (B-Galicki-Simanca)

- Sasakian structure $\mathcal{S} = (\xi, \eta, \Phi, g)$ with scalar curvature s_g .
- Calabi-Sasaki Energy functional $E(g) = \int_M s_g^2 d\mu_g$,
- Deform contact structure $\eta \mapsto \eta + td^c\varphi$ within its isotopy class where φ is basic.
- Variation gives critical point of $E(g) \iff \partial_g^\# s_g$ is transversely holomorphic.
- We say that g is **extremal** if it is critical point of E .
- g is **extremal Sasaki metric** \iff the transverse metric $g_{\mathcal{D}}$ is **extremal Kähler metric**.
- Important special case: **constant scalar curvature Sasaki (CSC)** metrics.
- If $\mathcal{S} = (\xi, \eta, \Phi, g)$ is **extremal (or CSC)** then so is $\mathcal{S}_a = (a^{-1}\xi, a\eta, \Phi, g_a)$ for any $a > 0$. So **(extremal (or CSC) Sasaki metrics occur in rays)**

Extremal Sasakian metrics (B-Galicki-Simanca)

- Sasakian structure $\mathcal{S} = (\xi, \eta, \Phi, g)$ with scalar curvature s_g .
- Calabi-Sasaki **Energy functional** $E(g) = \int_M s_g^2 d\mu_g$,
- **Deform contact structure** $\eta \mapsto \eta + td^c\varphi$ within its isotopy class where φ is basic.
- Variation gives critical point of $E(g) \iff \partial_g^\# s_g$ is transversely holomorphic.
- We say that g is **extremal** if it is critical point of E .
- g is **extremal Sasaki metric** \iff the transverse metric $g_{\mathcal{D}}$ is **extremal Kähler metric**.
- Important special case: **constant scalar curvature Sasaki (CSC)** metrics.
- If $\mathcal{S} = (\xi, \eta, \Phi, g)$ is **extremal (or CSC)** then so is $\mathcal{S}_a = (a^{-1}\xi, a\eta, \Phi, g_a)$ for any $a > 0$. So (**extremal (or CSC)** Sasaki metrics occur in **rays**)
- If $\mathcal{S}_i = (\xi_i, \eta_i, \Phi_i, g_i)$ is **extremal (or CSC)** for each $i = 1, 2$, so is the **join** $\mathcal{S}_{1,2}$.

Extremal Sasakian metrics (B-Galicki-Simanca)

- Sasakian structure $\mathcal{S} = (\xi, \eta, \Phi, g)$ with scalar curvature s_g .
- Calabi-Sasaki **Energy functional** $E(g) = \int_M s_g^2 d\mu_g$,
- **Deform contact structure** $\eta \mapsto \eta + td^c\varphi$ within its isotopy class where φ is basic.
- Variation gives critical point of $E(g) \iff \partial_g^\# s_g$ is transversely holomorphic.
- We say that g is **extremal** if it is critical point of E .
- g is **extremal Sasaki metric** \iff the transverse metric $g_{\mathcal{D}}$ is **extremal Kähler metric**.
- Important special case: **constant scalar curvature Sasaki (CSC)** metrics.
- If $\mathcal{S} = (\xi, \eta, \Phi, g)$ is **extremal (or CSC)** then so is $\mathcal{S}_a = (a^{-1}\xi, a\eta, \Phi, g_a)$ for any $a > 0$. So (**extremal (or CSC)** Sasaki metrics occur in **rays**)
- If $\mathcal{S}_i = (\xi_i, \eta_i, \Phi_i, g_i)$ is **extremal (or CSC)** for each $i = 1, 2$, so is the **join** $\mathcal{S}_{1,2}$.
- Another important special case: **Sasaki-eta-Einstein** metrics which satisfy:
 $\text{Ric}_g = ag + b\eta \otimes \eta$ for constants a, b such that $a + b = 2n$.

Extremal Sasakian metrics (B-Galicki-Simanca)

- Sasakian structure $\mathcal{S} = (\xi, \eta, \Phi, g)$ with scalar curvature s_g .
- Calabi-Sasaki **Energy functional** $E(g) = \int_M s_g^2 d\mu_g$,
- **Deform contact structure** $\eta \mapsto \eta + td^c\varphi$ within its isotopy class where φ is basic.
- Variation gives critical point of $E(g) \iff \partial_g^\# s_g$ is transversely holomorphic.
- We say that g is **extremal** if it is critical point of E .
- g is **extremal Sasaki metric** \iff the transverse metric $g_{\mathcal{D}}$ is **extremal Kähler metric**.
- Important special case: **constant scalar curvature Sasaki (CSC)** metrics.
- If $\mathcal{S} = (\xi, \eta, \Phi, g)$ is **extremal (or CSC)** then so is $\mathcal{S}_a = (a^{-1}\xi, a\eta, \Phi, g_a)$ for any $a > 0$. So (**extremal (or CSC)** Sasaki metrics occur in **rays**)
- If $\mathcal{S}_i = (\xi_i, \eta_i, \Phi_i, g_i)$ is **extremal (or CSC)** for each $i = 1, 2$, so is the **join** $\mathcal{S}_{1,2}$.
- Another important special case: **Sasaki-eta-Einstein** metrics which satisfy:
 $\text{Ric}_g = ag + b\eta \otimes \eta$ for constants a, b such that $a + b = 2n$.
- g is **Sasaki-eta-Einstein** \iff transverse metric $g_{\mathcal{D}}$ is **Kähler-Einstein (KE)**.

Extremal Sasakian metrics (B-Galicki-Simanca)

- Sasakian structure $\mathcal{S} = (\xi, \eta, \Phi, g)$ with scalar curvature s_g .
- Calabi-Sasaki **Energy functional** $E(g) = \int_M s_g^2 d\mu_g$,
- **Deform contact structure** $\eta \mapsto \eta + td^c\varphi$ within its isotopy class where φ is basic.
- Variation gives critical point of $E(g) \iff \partial_g^\# s_g$ is transversely holomorphic.
- We say that g is **extremal** if it is critical point of E .
- g is **extremal Sasaki metric** \iff the transverse metric $g_{\mathcal{D}}$ is **extremal Kähler metric**.
- Important special case: **constant scalar curvature Sasaki (CSC)** metrics.
- If $\mathcal{S} = (\xi, \eta, \Phi, g)$ is **extremal (or CSC)** then so is $\mathcal{S}_a = (a^{-1}\xi, a\eta, \Phi, g_a)$ for any $a > 0$. So (**extremal (or CSC)** Sasaki metrics occur in **rays**)
- If $\mathcal{S}_i = (\xi_i, \eta_i, \Phi_i, g_i)$ is **extremal (or CSC)** for each $i = 1, 2$, so is the **join** $\mathcal{S}_{1,2}$.
- Another important special case: **Sasaki-eta-Einstein** metrics which satisfy:
 $\text{Ric}_g = ag + b\eta \otimes \eta$ for constants a, b such that $a + b = 2n$.
- g is **Sasaki-eta-Einstein** \iff transverse metric $g_{\mathcal{D}}$ is **Kähler-Einstein (KE)**.
- g is **Sasaki-Einstein (SE)** $\iff b = 0$ and $a = 2n \iff g_{\mathcal{D}}$ is **KE** with scalar curvature $4n(n+1)$.

Extremal Sasakian metrics (B-Galicki-Simanca)

- Sasakian structure $\mathcal{S} = (\xi, \eta, \Phi, g)$ with scalar curvature s_g .
- Calabi-Sasaki **Energy functional** $E(g) = \int_M s_g^2 d\mu_g$,
- **Deform contact structure** $\eta \mapsto \eta + td^c\varphi$ within its isotopy class where φ is basic.
- Variation gives critical point of $E(g) \iff \partial_g^\# s_g$ is transversely holomorphic.
- We say that g is **extremal** if it is critical point of E .
- g is **extremal Sasaki metric** \iff the transverse metric $g_{\mathcal{D}}$ is **extremal Kähler metric**.
- Important special case: **constant scalar curvature Sasaki (CSC)** metrics.
- If $\mathcal{S} = (\xi, \eta, \Phi, g)$ is **extremal (or CSC)** then so is $\mathcal{S}_a = (a^{-1}\xi, a\eta, \Phi, g_a)$ for any $a > 0$. So (**extremal (or CSC) Sasaki metrics occur in rays**)
- If $\mathcal{S}_i = (\xi_i, \eta_i, \Phi_i, g_i)$ is **extremal (or CSC)** for each $i = 1, 2$, so is the **join** \mathcal{S}_{l_1, l_2} .
- Another important special case: **Sasaki-eta-Einstein** metrics which satisfy:
 $\text{Ric}_g = ag + b\eta \otimes \eta$ for constants a, b such that $a + b = 2n$.
- g is **Sasaki-eta-Einstein** \iff transverse metric $g_{\mathcal{D}}$ is **Kähler-Einstein (KE)**.
- g is **Sasaki-Einstein (SE)** $\iff b = 0$ and $a = 2n \iff g_{\mathcal{D}}$ is **KE** with scalar curvature $4n(n+1)$.
- Calabi: Extremal metrics have maximal symmetry.

Extremal Sasakian metrics (B-Galicki-Simanca)

- Sasakian structure $\mathcal{S} = (\xi, \eta, \Phi, g)$ with scalar curvature s_g .
- Calabi-Sasaki **Energy functional** $E(g) = \int_M s_g^2 d\mu_g$,
- **Deform contact structure** $\eta \mapsto \eta + td^c\varphi$ within its isotopy class where φ is basic.
- Variation gives critical point of $E(g) \iff \partial_g^\# s_g$ is transversely holomorphic.
- We say that g is **extremal** if it is critical point of E .
- g is **extremal Sasaki metric** \iff the transverse metric $g_{\mathcal{D}}$ is **extremal Kähler metric**.
- Important special case: **constant scalar curvature Sasaki (CSC)** metrics.
- If $\mathcal{S} = (\xi, \eta, \Phi, g)$ is **extremal (or CSC)** then so is $\mathcal{S}_a = (a^{-1}\xi, a\eta, \Phi, g_a)$ for any $a > 0$. So (**extremal (or CSC) Sasaki metrics occur in rays**)
- If $\mathcal{S}_i = (\xi_i, \eta_i, \Phi_i, g_i)$ is **extremal (or CSC)** for each $i = 1, 2$, so is the **join** $\mathcal{S}_{1,2}$.
- Another important special case: **Sasaki-eta-Einstein** metrics which satisfy:
 $\text{Ric}_g = ag + b\eta \otimes \eta$ for constants a, b such that $a + b = 2n$.
- g is **Sasaki-eta-Einstein** \iff transverse metric $g_{\mathcal{D}}$ is **Kähler-Einstein (KE)**.
- g is **Sasaki-Einstein (SE)** $\iff b = 0$ and $a = 2n \iff g_{\mathcal{D}}$ is **KE** with scalar curvature $4n(n+1)$.
- Calabi: Extremal metrics have maximal symmetry.
- Every 3-dimensional Sasakian structure admits an **extremal representative**.

Extremal Sasakian metrics (B-Galicki-Simanca)

- Sasakian structure $\mathcal{S} = (\xi, \eta, \Phi, g)$ with scalar curvature s_g .
- Calabi-Sasaki **Energy functional** $E(g) = \int_M s_g^2 d\mu_g$,
- **Deform contact structure** $\eta \mapsto \eta + td^c\varphi$ within its isotopy class where φ is basic.
- Variation gives critical point of $E(g) \iff \partial_g^\# s_g$ is transversely holomorphic.
- We say that g is **extremal** if it is critical point of E .
- g is **extremal Sasaki metric** \iff the transverse metric $g_{\mathcal{D}}$ is **extremal Kähler metric**.
- Important special case: **constant scalar curvature Sasaki (CSC)** metrics.
- If $\mathcal{S} = (\xi, \eta, \Phi, g)$ is **extremal (or CSC)** then so is $\mathcal{S}_a = (a^{-1}\xi, a\eta, \Phi, g_a)$ for any $a > 0$. So (**extremal (or CSC) Sasaki metrics occur in rays**)
- If $\mathcal{S}_i = (\xi_i, \eta_i, \Phi_i, g_i)$ is **extremal (or CSC)** for each $i = 1, 2$, so is the **join** \mathcal{S}_{l_1, l_2} .
- Another important special case: **Sasaki-eta-Einstein** metrics which satisfy:
 $\text{Ric}_g = ag + b\eta \otimes \eta$ for constants a, b such that $a + b = 2n$.
- g is **Sasaki-eta-Einstein** \iff transverse metric $g_{\mathcal{D}}$ is **Kähler-Einstein (KE)**.
- g is **Sasaki-Einstein (SE)** $\iff b = 0$ and $a = 2n \iff g_{\mathcal{D}}$ is **KE** with scalar curvature $4n(n+1)$.
- Calabi: Extremal metrics have maximal symmetry.
- Every 3-dimensional Sasakian structure admits an **extremal representative**.
- The **Sasaki-Futaki invariant** $\mathfrak{F}(X) = \int_M X(\psi_g) d\mu_g$ where X is transversely holomorphic and ψ_g is the Ricci potential satisfying $\rho^T = \rho_h^T + i\partial\bar{\partial}\psi_g$ where ρ^T is the transverse Ricci form and ρ_h^T is its harmonic part. An extremal Sasaki metric g has constant scalar curvature if and only if $\mathfrak{F} = 0$.

Definition

For a fixed Sasaki cone $\kappa(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{J})$ define the **extremal** subset $\mathfrak{e}(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{J})$ such that there is a deformation with an extremal representative.

Definition

For a fixed Sasaki cone $\kappa(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{J})$ define the **extremal** subset $\epsilon(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{J})$ such that there is a deformation with an extremal representative.

- Openness Theorem: $\epsilon(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{J})$ is open in $\kappa(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{J})$ (B-,Galicki,Simanca).

Definition

For a fixed Sasaki cone $\kappa(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{J})$ define the **extremal** subset $\epsilon(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{J})$ such that there is a deformation with an extremal representative.

- Openness Theorem: $\epsilon(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{J})$ is open in $\kappa(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{J})$ (B-, Galicki, Simanca).
- $\epsilon(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{J})$ is conical in the sense that if $\mathcal{S} \in \epsilon(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{J})$ so is \mathcal{S}_a for all $a > 0$.

Definition

For a fixed Sasaki cone $\kappa(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{J})$ define the **extremal** subset $\epsilon(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{J})$ such that there is a deformation with an extremal representative.

- Openness Theorem: $\epsilon(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{J})$ is open in $\kappa(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{J})$ (B-, Galicki, Simanca).
- $\epsilon(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{J})$ is conical in the sense that if $\mathcal{S} \in \epsilon(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{J})$ so is \mathcal{S}_a for all $a > 0$.

Open Question

Is $\epsilon(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{J})$ always connected?

Definition

For a fixed Sasaki cone $\kappa(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{J})$ define the **extremal** subset $\epsilon(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{J})$ such that there is a deformation with an extremal representative.

- Openness Theorem: $\epsilon(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{J})$ is open in $\kappa(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{J})$ (B-, Galicki, Simanca).
- $\epsilon(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{J})$ is conical in the sense that if $\mathcal{S} \in \epsilon(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{J})$ so is \mathcal{S}_a for all $a > 0$.

Open Question

Is $\epsilon(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{J})$ always connected?

Question

When is $\epsilon(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{J}) = \kappa(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{J})$?

Definition

For a fixed Sasaki cone $\kappa(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{J})$ define the **extremal** subset $\epsilon(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{J})$ such that there is a deformation with an extremal representative.

- Openness Theorem: $\epsilon(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{J})$ is open in $\kappa(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{J})$ (B-, Galicki, Simanca).
- $\epsilon(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{J})$ is conical in the sense that if $\mathcal{S} \in \epsilon(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{J})$ so is \mathcal{S}_a for all $a > 0$.

Open Question

Is $\epsilon(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{J})$ always connected?

Question

When is $\epsilon(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{J}) = \kappa(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{J})$?

- There are many if $\dim \kappa(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{J}) = 1$. Enormous number of **SE** metrics on certain manifolds.

Definition

For a fixed Sasaki cone $\kappa(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{J})$ define the **extremal** subset $\epsilon(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{J})$ such that there is a deformation with an extremal representative.

- Openness Theorem: $\epsilon(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{J})$ is open in $\kappa(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{J})$ (B-, Galicki, Simanca).
- $\epsilon(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{J})$ is conical in the sense that if $\mathcal{S} \in \epsilon(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{J})$ so is \mathcal{S}_a for all $a > 0$.

Open Question

Is $\epsilon(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{J})$ always connected?

Question

When is $\epsilon(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{J}) = \kappa(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{J})$?

- There are many if $\dim \kappa(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{J}) = 1$. Enormous number of **SE** metrics on certain manifolds.
- Standard **CR structure** on S^{2n+1} which is **toric** ($\dim \kappa(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{J}) = n + 1$.) Here $\epsilon(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{J}) = \kappa(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{J})$, but only one ray has **(CSC)** (which also has constant Φ -sectional curvature $c > -3$), and only the round sphere ($c = 1$) is **Sasaki-Einstein**.

Definition

For a fixed Sasaki cone $\kappa(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{J})$ define the **extremal** subset $\epsilon(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{J})$ such that there is a deformation with an extremal representative.

- Openness Theorem: $\epsilon(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{J})$ is open in $\kappa(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{J})$ (B-, Galicki, Simanca).
- $\epsilon(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{J})$ is conical in the sense that if $\mathcal{S} \in \epsilon(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{J})$ so is \mathcal{S}_a for all $a > 0$.

Open Question

Is $\epsilon(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{J})$ always connected?

Question

When is $\epsilon(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{J}) = \kappa(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{J})$?

- There are many if $\dim \kappa(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{J}) = 1$. Enormous number of **SE** metrics on certain manifolds.
- Standard **CR structure** on S^{2n+1} which is **toric** ($\dim \kappa(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{J}) = n + 1$.) Here $\epsilon(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{J}) = \kappa(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{J})$, but only one ray has **(CSC)** (which also has constant Φ -sectional curvature $c > -3$), and only the round sphere ($c = 1$) is **Sasaki-Einstein**.
- A noncompact example with $\epsilon(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{J}) = \kappa(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{J})$ is the Heisenberg group.

Definition

For a fixed Sasaki cone $\kappa(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{J})$ define the **extremal** subset $\epsilon(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{J})$ such that there is a deformation with an extremal representative.

- Openness Theorem: $\epsilon(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{J})$ is open in $\kappa(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{J})$ (B-, Galicki, Simanca).
- $\epsilon(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{J})$ is conical in the sense that if $\mathcal{S} \in \epsilon(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{J})$ so is \mathcal{S}_a for all $a > 0$.

Open Question

Is $\epsilon(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{J})$ always connected?

Question

When is $\epsilon(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{J}) = \kappa(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{J})$?

- There are many if $\dim \kappa(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{J}) = 1$. Enormous number of **SE** metrics on certain manifolds.
- Standard **CR structure** on S^{2n+1} which is **toric** ($\dim \kappa(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{J}) = n + 1$.) Here $\epsilon(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{J}) = \kappa(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{J})$, but only one ray has **(CSC)** (which also has constant Φ -sectional curvature $c > -3$), and only the round sphere ($c = 1$) is **Sasaki-Einstein**.
- A noncompact example with $\epsilon(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{J}) = \kappa(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{J})$ is the Heisenberg group.
- If $0 < g \leq 4$ all 2-dimensional Sasaki cones on S^3 -bundles over Σ_g obtained by our construction have $\epsilon(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{J}) = \kappa(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{J})$ (B-, Tønnesen-Friedman).

- Recall $M_{\star_{l_1, l_2}} S_{\mathbf{w}}^3$ is a $L(l_2; l_1 w_1, l_1, w_2)$ bundle over a Kähler manifold N .

General Setup: Fundamental Theorem

- Recall $M \star_{l_1, l_2} S_W^3$ is a $L(l_2; l_1 w_1, l_1, w_2)$ bundle over a Kähler manifold N .

Theorem (B-, Tønnesen-Friedman)

Let $M_{l_1, l_2, \mathbf{w}} = M \star_{l_1, l_2} S_W^3$ be the S_W^3 -join with a regular Sasaki manifold M which is an S^1 -bundle over a compact Kähler manifold N with constant scalar curvature. Then for each vector $\mathbf{w} = (w_1, w_2) \in \mathbb{Z}^+ \times \mathbb{Z}^+$ with relatively prime components satisfying $w_1 > w_2$ there exists a Reeb vector field $\xi_{\mathbf{v}}$ in a 2-dimensional sub cone, the \mathbf{w} -cone, of the Sasaki cone on $M_{l_1, l_2, \mathbf{w}}$ such that the corresponding ray of Sasakian structures $S_a = (a^{-1}\xi_{\mathbf{v}}, a\eta_N, \Phi, g_a)$ has **constant scalar curvature**. Moreover, given the cohomology ring of M , the cohomology ring of $M_{l_1, l_2, \mathbf{w}}$ can be determined.

- Recall $M \star_{l_1, l_2} S_W^3$ is a $L(l_2; l_1 w_1, l_1, w_2)$ bundle over a Kähler manifold N .

Theorem (B-, Tønnesen-Friedman)

Let $M_{l_1, l_2, \mathbf{w}} = M \star_{l_1, l_2} S_W^3$ be the S_W^3 -join with a regular Sasaki manifold M which is an S^1 -bundle over a compact Kähler manifold N with constant scalar curvature. Then for each vector $\mathbf{w} = (w_1, w_2) \in \mathbb{Z}^+ \times \mathbb{Z}^+$ with relatively prime components satisfying $w_1 > w_2$ there exists a Reeb vector field $\xi_{\mathbf{v}}$ in a 2-dimensional sub cone, the \mathbf{w} -cone, of the Sasaki cone on $M_{l_1, l_2, \mathbf{w}}$ such that the corresponding ray of Sasakian structures $S_a = (a^{-1}\xi_{\mathbf{v}}, a\eta_N, \Phi, g_a)$ has **constant scalar curvature**. Moreover, given the cohomology ring of M , the cohomology ring of $M_{l_1, l_2, \mathbf{w}}$ can be determined.

- Remark: Most of the **CSC** Sasakian structures are **irregular**.

- Recall $M \star_{l_1, l_2} S_W^3$ is a $L(l_2; l_1 w_1, l_1, w_2)$ bundle over a Kähler manifold N .

Theorem (B-, Tønnesen-Friedman)

Let $M_{l_1, l_2, \mathbf{w}} = M \star_{l_1, l_2} S_W^3$ be the S_W^3 -join with a regular Sasaki manifold M which is an S^1 -bundle over a compact Kähler manifold N with constant scalar curvature. Then for each vector $\mathbf{w} = (w_1, w_2) \in \mathbb{Z}^+ \times \mathbb{Z}^+$ with relatively prime components satisfying $w_1 > w_2$ there exists a Reeb vector field $\xi_{\mathbf{v}}$ in a 2-dimensional sub cone, the \mathbf{w} -cone, of the Sasaki cone on $M_{l_1, l_2, \mathbf{w}}$ such that the corresponding ray of Sasakian structures $S_a = (a^{-1} \xi_{\mathbf{v}}, a \eta_N, \Phi, g_a)$ has **constant scalar curvature**. Moreover, given the cohomology ring of M , the cohomology ring of $M_{l_1, l_2, \mathbf{w}}$ can be determined.

- Remark: Most of the **CSC** Sasakian structures are **irregular**.
- When N is positive **KE** get **SE** metric on $M_{l_1, l_2, \mathbf{w}}$ for appropriate choice of (l_1, l_2) .

General Setup: Fundamental Theorem

- Recall $M \star_{l_1, l_2} S_W^3$ is a $L(l_2; l_1 w_1, l_1, w_2)$ bundle over a Kähler manifold N .

Theorem (B-, Tønnesen-Friedman)

Let $M_{l_1, l_2, \mathbf{w}} = M \star_{l_1, l_2} S_W^3$ be the S_W^3 -join with a regular Sasaki manifold M which is an S^1 -bundle over a compact Kähler manifold N with constant scalar curvature. Then for each vector $\mathbf{w} = (w_1, w_2) \in \mathbb{Z}^+ \times \mathbb{Z}^+$ with relatively prime components satisfying $w_1 > w_2$ there exists a Reeb vector field $\xi_{\mathbf{v}}$ in a 2-dimensional sub cone, the \mathbf{w} -cone, of the Sasaki cone on $M_{l_1, l_2, \mathbf{w}}$ such that the corresponding ray of Sasakian structures $S_a = (a^{-1} \xi_{\mathbf{v}}, a \eta_N, \Phi, g_a)$ has **constant scalar curvature**. Moreover, given the cohomology ring of M , the cohomology ring of $M_{l_1, l_2, \mathbf{w}}$ can be determined.

- Remark: Most of the **CSC** Sasakian structures are **irregular**.
- When N is positive **KE** get **SE** metric on $M_{l_1, l_2, \mathbf{w}}$ for appropriate choice of (l_1, l_2) .
- In special cases determine the **diffeomorphism (homeomorphism, homotopy)** types.

General Setup: Fundamental Theorem

- Recall $M \star_{l_1, l_2} S_W^3$ is a $L(l_2; l_1 w_1, l_1, w_2)$ bundle over a Kähler manifold N .

Theorem (B-, Tønnesen-Friedman)

Let $M_{l_1, l_2, \mathbf{w}} = M \star_{l_1, l_2} S_W^3$ be the S_W^3 -join with a regular Sasaki manifold M which is an S^1 -bundle over a compact Kähler manifold N with constant scalar curvature. Then for each vector $\mathbf{w} = (w_1, w_2) \in \mathbb{Z}^+ \times \mathbb{Z}^+$ with relatively prime components satisfying $w_1 > w_2$ there exists a Reeb vector field $\xi_{\mathbf{v}}$ in a 2-dimensional sub cone, the \mathbf{w} -cone, of the Sasaki cone on $M_{l_1, l_2, \mathbf{w}}$ such that the corresponding ray of Sasakian structures $S_a = (a^{-1} \xi_{\mathbf{v}}, a \eta_N, \Phi, g_a)$ has **constant scalar curvature**. Moreover, given the cohomology ring of M , the cohomology ring of $M_{l_1, l_2, \mathbf{w}}$ can be determined.

- Remark: Most of the **CSC** Sasakian structures are **irregular**.
- When N is positive **KE** get **SE** metric on $M_{l_1, l_2, \mathbf{w}}$ for appropriate choice of (l_1, l_2) .
- In special cases determine the **diffeomorphism (homeomorphism, homotopy)** types.
- Two Cases: **Reason**: Interference in E_2 term of a Leray-Serre spectral sequence.

General Setup: Fundamental Theorem

- Recall $M \star_{l_1, l_2} S_W^3$ is a $L(l_2; l_1 w_1, l_1, w_2)$ bundle over a Kähler manifold N .

Theorem (B-, Tønnesen-Friedman)

Let $M_{l_1, l_2, \mathbf{w}} = M \star_{l_1, l_2} S_W^3$ be the S_W^3 -join with a regular Sasaki manifold M which is an S^1 -bundle over a compact Kähler manifold N with constant scalar curvature. Then for each vector $\mathbf{w} = (w_1, w_2) \in \mathbb{Z}^+ \times \mathbb{Z}^+$ with relatively prime components satisfying $w_1 > w_2$ there exists a Reeb vector field $\xi_{\mathbf{v}}$ in a 2-dimensional sub cone, the \mathbf{w} -cone, of the Sasaki cone on $M_{l_1, l_2, \mathbf{w}}$ such that the corresponding ray of Sasakian structures $S_a = (a^{-1}\xi_{\mathbf{v}}, a\eta_{\mathbf{v}}, \Phi, g_a)$ has **constant scalar curvature**. Moreover, given the cohomology ring of M , the cohomology ring of $M_{l_1, l_2, \mathbf{w}}$ can be determined.

- Remark: Most of the **CSC** Sasakian structures are **irregular**.
- When N is positive **KE** get **SE** metric on $M_{l_1, l_2, \mathbf{w}}$ for appropriate choice of (l_1, l_2) .
- In special cases determine the **diffeomorphism (homeomorphism, homotopy)** types.
- Two Cases: **Reason**: Interference in E_2 term of a Leray-Serre spectral sequence.
 - $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} N = 1$;

General Setup: Fundamental Theorem

- Recall $M \star_{l_1, l_2} S_W^3$ is a $L(l_2; l_1 w_1, l_1, w_2)$ bundle over a Kähler manifold N .

Theorem (B-, Tønnesen-Friedman)

Let $M_{l_1, l_2, \mathbf{w}} = M \star_{l_1, l_2} S_W^3$ be the S_W^3 -join with a regular Sasaki manifold M which is an S^1 -bundle over a compact Kähler manifold N with constant scalar curvature. Then for each vector $\mathbf{w} = (w_1, w_2) \in \mathbb{Z}^+ \times \mathbb{Z}^+$ with relatively prime components satisfying $w_1 > w_2$ there exists a Reeb vector field $\xi_{\mathbf{v}}$ in a 2-dimensional sub cone, the \mathbf{w} -cone, of the Sasaki cone on $M_{l_1, l_2, \mathbf{w}}$ such that the corresponding ray of Sasakian structures $S_a = (a^{-1}\xi_{\mathbf{v}}, a\eta_{\mathbf{v}}, \Phi, g_a)$ has **constant scalar curvature**. Moreover, given the cohomology ring of M , the cohomology ring of $M_{l_1, l_2, \mathbf{w}}$ can be determined.

- Remark: Most of the **CSC** Sasakian structures are **irregular**.
- When N is positive **KE** get **SE** metric on $M_{l_1, l_2, \mathbf{w}}$ for appropriate choice of (l_1, l_2) .
- In special cases determine the **diffeomorphism (homeomorphism, homotopy)** types.
- Two Cases: **Reason**: Interference in E_2 term of a Leray-Serre spectral sequence.
 - $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} N = 1$;
 - $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} N > 1$.

- Case 1: $\dim N = 1$. Take $N = \Sigma_g$, a compact **Riemann surface** of genus g .

S^3 -bundles over Riemann surfaces

- Case 1: $\dim N = 1$. Take $N = \Sigma_g$, a compact **Riemann surface** of genus g .
- When $g = 0$ we get Sasakian structures on S^3 -bundles over the S^2 for all relatively prime positive integers l_1, l_2 . (**B-,B-Pati**) (Also **E. Legendre**). When $c_1 = 0$ we recover the **SE** metrics on $Y^{p,q}$ of the physicists **Guantlett, Martelli, Sparks, Waldram**. For fixed p the $\phi(p)$ (Euler phi-function) inequivalent **SE** structures belong to the same contact structure, a $\phi(p)$ -**bouquet** $\mathfrak{B}_{\phi(p)}$.

S^3 -bundles over Riemann surfaces

- Case 1: $\dim N = 1$. Take $N = \Sigma_g$, a compact **Riemann surface** of genus g .
- When $g = 0$ we get Sasakian structures on S^3 -bundles over the S^2 for all relatively prime positive integers l_1, l_2 . (**B-,B-Pati**) (Also **E. Legendre**). When $c_1 = 0$ we recover the **SE** metrics on $Y^{p,q}$ of the physicists **Guantlett, Martelli, Sparks, Waldram**. For fixed p the $\phi(p)$ (Euler phi-function) inequivalent **SE** structures belong to the same contact structure, a $\phi(p)$ -**bouquet** $\mathfrak{B}_{\phi(p)}$.
- When $g > 0$ we set $l_2 = 1$ to obtain S^3 -bundles over a **Riemann surface** Σ_g with two **diffeomorphism types**, the trivial bundle $\Sigma_g \times S^3$, and the non-trivial bundle $\Sigma_g \tilde{\times} S^3$.

S^3 -bundles over Riemann surfaces

- Case 1: $\dim N = 1$. Take $N = \Sigma_g$, a compact **Riemann surface** of genus g .
- When $g = 0$ we get Sasakian structures on S^3 -bundles over the S^2 for all relatively prime positive integers l_1, l_2 . (**B-,B-Pati**) (Also **E. Legendre**). When $c_1 = 0$ we recover the **SE** metrics on $Y^{p,q}$ of the physicists **Guantlett, Martelli, Sparks, Waldram**. For fixed p the $\phi(p)$ (Euler phi-function) inequivalent **SE** structures belong to the same contact structure, a $\phi(p)$ -**bouquet** $\mathfrak{B}_{\phi(p)}$.
- When $g > 0$ we set $l_2 = 1$ to obtain S^3 -bundles over a **Riemann surface** Σ_g with two **diffeomorphism types**, the trivial bundle $\Sigma_g \times S^3$, and the non-trivial bundle $\Sigma_g \tilde{\times} S^3$.
- On both manifolds there is a countably infinite number of inequivalent **contact** structures \mathcal{D}_k admitting a 2-dimensional cone of Sasakian structures which by our Fundamental Theorem admits a ray of **CSC** Sasakian structures.

S^3 -bundles over Riemann surfaces

- Case 1: $\dim N = 1$. Take $N = \Sigma_g$, a compact **Riemann surface** of genus g .
- When $g = 0$ we get Sasakian structures on S^3 -bundles over the S^2 for all relatively prime positive integers l_1, l_2 . (**B-,B-Pati**) (Also **E. Legendre**). When $c_1 = 0$ we recover the **SE** metrics on $Y^{p,q}$ of the physicists **Guantlett, Martelli, Sparks, Waldram**. For fixed p the $\phi(p)$ (Euler phi-function) inequivalent **SE** structures belong to the same contact structure, a **$\phi(p)$ -bouquet** $\mathfrak{B}_{\phi(p)}$.
- When $g > 0$ we set $l_2 = 1$ to obtain S^3 -bundles over a **Riemann surface** Σ_g with two **diffeomorphism types**, the trivial bundle $\Sigma_g \times S^3$, and the non-trivial bundle $\Sigma_g \tilde{\times} S^3$.
- On both manifolds there is a countably infinite number of inequivalent **contact** structures \mathcal{D}_k admitting a 2-dimensional cone of Sasakian structures which by our Fundamental Theorem admits a ray of **CSC** Sasakian structures.
- When $0 < g \leq 4$ all 2-dimensional Sasaki cones on S^3 -bundles over Σ_g satisfy $\epsilon(\mathcal{D}_k, \mathcal{J}) = \kappa(\mathcal{D}_k, \mathcal{J})$.

S^3 -bundles over Riemann surfaces

- Case 1: $\dim N = 1$. Take $N = \Sigma_g$, a compact **Riemann surface** of genus g .
- When $g = 0$ we get Sasakian structures on S^3 -bundles over the S^2 for all relatively prime positive integers l_1, l_2 . (**B-,B-Pati**) (Also **E. Legendre**). When $c_1 = 0$ we recover the **SE** metrics on $Y^{p,q}$ of the physicists **Guantlett, Martelli, Sparks, Waldram**. For fixed p the $\phi(p)$ (Euler phi-function) inequivalent **SE** structures belong to the same contact structure, a **$\phi(p)$ -bouquet** $\mathfrak{B}_{\phi(p)}$.
- When $g > 0$ we set $l_2 = 1$ to obtain S^3 -bundles over a **Riemann surface** Σ_g with two **diffeomorphism types**, the trivial bundle $\Sigma_g \times S^3$, and the non-trivial bundle $\Sigma_g \tilde{\times} S^3$.
- On both manifolds there is a countably infinite number of inequivalent **contact** structures \mathcal{D}_k admitting a 2-dimensional cone of Sasakian structures which by our Fundamental Theorem admits a ray of **CSC** Sasakian structures.
- When $0 < g \leq 4$ all 2-dimensional Sasaki cones on S^3 -bundles over Σ_g satisfy $\epsilon(\mathcal{D}_k, \mathcal{J}) = \kappa(\mathcal{D}_k, \mathcal{J})$.
- For any genus $g \geq 1$ and for each positive integer k , the contact manifold $(\Sigma_g \times S^3, \mathcal{D}_k)$ has a **k -bouquet** \mathfrak{B}_k of 2-dimensional Sasaki cones.

S^3 -bundles over Riemann surfaces

- Case 1: $\dim N = 1$. Take $N = \Sigma_g$, a compact **Riemann surface** of genus g .
- When $g = 0$ we get Sasakian structures on S^3 -bundles over the S^2 for all relatively prime positive integers l_1, l_2 . (**B-,B-Pati**) (Also **E. Legendre**). When $c_1 = 0$ we recover the **SE** metrics on $Y^{p,q}$ of the physicists **Guantlett, Martelli, Sparks, Waldram**. For fixed p the $\phi(p)$ (Euler phi-function) inequivalent **SE** structures belong to the same contact structure, a $\phi(p)$ -**bouquet** $\mathfrak{B}_{\phi(p)}$.
- When $g > 0$ we set $l_2 = 1$ to obtain S^3 -bundles over a **Riemann surface** Σ_g with two **diffeomorphism types**, the trivial bundle $\Sigma_g \times S^3$, and the non-trivial bundle $\Sigma_g \tilde{\times} S^3$.
- On both manifolds there is a countably infinite number of inequivalent **contact** structures \mathcal{D}_k admitting a 2-dimensional cone of Sasakian structures which by our Fundamental Theorem admits a ray of **CSC** Sasakian structures.
- When $0 < g \leq 4$ all 2-dimensional Sasaki cones on S^3 -bundles over Σ_g satisfy $\epsilon(\mathcal{D}_k, \mathcal{J}) = \kappa(\mathcal{D}_k, \mathcal{J})$.
- For any genus $g \geq 1$ and for each positive integer k , the contact manifold $(\Sigma_g \times S^3, \mathcal{D}_k)$ has a **k-bouquet** \mathfrak{B}_k of 2-dimensional Sasaki cones.
- The distinct Sasaki cones in the bouquet \mathfrak{B}_k correspond to distinct conjugacy classes of maximal tori in $\mathcal{C}on(\mathcal{D}_{l_1, l_2, w})$. This uses the work of **Buşe** on **equivariant Gromov-Witten invariants**.

S^3 -bundles over Riemann surfaces

- Case 1: $\dim N = 1$. Take $N = \Sigma_g$, a compact **Riemann surface** of genus g .
- When $g = 0$ we get Sasakian structures on S^3 -bundles over the S^2 for all relatively prime positive integers l_1, l_2 . (**B-,B-Pati**) (Also **E. Legendre**). When $c_1 = 0$ we recover the **SE** metrics on $Y^{p,q}$ of the physicists **Guantlett, Martelli, Sparks, Waldram**. For fixed p the $\phi(p)$ (Euler phi-function) inequivalent **SE** structures belong to the same contact structure, a $\phi(p)$ -**bouquet** $\mathfrak{B}_{\phi(p)}$.
- When $g > 0$ we set $l_2 = 1$ to obtain S^3 -bundles over a **Riemann surface** Σ_g with two **diffeomorphism types**, the trivial bundle $\Sigma_g \times S^3$, and the non-trivial bundle $\Sigma_g \tilde{\times} S^3$.
- On both manifolds there is a countably infinite number of inequivalent **contact** structures \mathcal{D}_k admitting a 2-dimensional cone of Sasakian structures which by our Fundamental Theorem admits a ray of **CSC** Sasakian structures.
- When $0 < g \leq 4$ all 2-dimensional Sasaki cones on S^3 -bundles over Σ_g satisfy $\epsilon(\mathcal{D}_k, \mathcal{J}) = \kappa(\mathcal{D}_k, \mathcal{J})$.
- For any genus $g \geq 1$ and for each positive integer k , the contact manifold $(\Sigma_g \times S^3, \mathcal{D}_k)$ has a **k-bouquet** \mathfrak{B}_k of 2-dimensional Sasaki cones.
- The distinct Sasaki cones in the bouquet \mathfrak{B}_k correspond to distinct conjugacy classes of maximal tori in $\mathcal{C}on(\mathcal{D}_{l_1, l_2, w})$. This uses the work of **Buşe** on **equivariant Gromov-Witten invariants**.
- The construction can be 'twisted' by reducible representations of the fundamental group $\pi_1(\Sigma_g)$. The irreducible representations of $\pi_1(\Sigma_g)$ give 1-dimensional Sasaki cones. They arise from **stable** rank two vector bundles and have **CSC** Sasaki metrics.

S^3 -bundles over Riemann surfaces

- Case 1: $\dim N = 1$. Take $N = \Sigma_g$, a compact **Riemann surface** of genus g .
- When $g = 0$ we get Sasakian structures on S^3 -bundles over the S^2 for all relatively prime positive integers l_1, l_2 . (**B-,B-Pati**) (Also **E. Legendre**). When $c_1 = 0$ we recover the **SE** metrics on $Y^{p,q}$ of the physicists **Guantlett, Martelli, Sparks, Waldram**. For fixed p the $\phi(p)$ (Euler phi-function) inequivalent **SE** structures belong to the same contact structure, a $\phi(p)$ -**bouquet** $\mathfrak{B}_{\phi(p)}$.
- When $g > 0$ we set $l_2 = 1$ to obtain S^3 -bundles over a **Riemann surface** Σ_g with two **diffeomorphism types**, the trivial bundle $\Sigma_g \times S^3$, and the non-trivial bundle $\Sigma_g \tilde{\times} S^3$.
- On both manifolds there is a countably infinite number of inequivalent **contact** structures \mathcal{D}_k admitting a 2-dimensional cone of Sasakian structures which by our Fundamental Theorem admits a ray of **CSC** Sasakian structures.
- When $0 < g \leq 4$ all 2-dimensional Sasaki cones on S^3 -bundles over Σ_g satisfy $\epsilon(\mathcal{D}_k, \mathcal{J}) = \kappa(\mathcal{D}_k, \mathcal{J})$.
- For any genus $g \geq 1$ and for each positive integer k , the contact manifold $(\Sigma_g \times S^3, \mathcal{D}_k)$ has a **k-bouquet** \mathfrak{B}_k of 2-dimensional Sasaki cones.
- The distinct Sasaki cones in the bouquet \mathfrak{B}_k correspond to distinct conjugacy classes of maximal tori in $\mathcal{C}on(\mathcal{D}_{l_1, l_2, w})$. This uses the work of **Buře** on **equivariant Gromov-Witten invariants**.
- The construction can be 'twisted' by reducible representations of the fundamental group $\pi_1(\Sigma_g)$. The irreducible representations of $\pi_1(\Sigma_g)$ give 1-dimensional Sasaki cones. They arise from **stable** rank two vector bundles and have **CSC** Sasaki metrics.
- Some of the same type of results can be obtained on 5-manifolds whose fundamental group is a non-Abelian extension of $\pi_1(\Sigma_g)$.

Constant Scalar Curvature in Higher Dimension

- Case 2. $\dim N > 1$. Take N to be a Kähler manifold with constant scalar curvature.

Constant Scalar Curvature in Higher Dimension

- Case 2. $\dim N > 1$. Take N to be a **Kähler manifold** with constant scalar curvature.
- Fundamental Theorem holds but the **diffeomorphism type** can depend on h_1, h_2, w .

Constant Scalar Curvature in Higher Dimension

- Case 2. $\dim N > 1$. Take N to be a **Kähler manifold** with constant scalar curvature.
- Fundamental Theorem holds but the **diffeomorphism type** can depend on l_1, l_2, \mathbf{w} .
- Take N to be a **positive KE** manifold, and let

$$l_1 = \frac{J_N}{\gcd(w_1 + w_2, J_N)}, \quad l_2 = \frac{w_1 + w_2}{\gcd(w_1 + w_2, J_N)}$$

where J_N denotes the Fano index of N . Then for each vector $\mathbf{w} = (w_1, w_2) \in \mathbb{Z}^+ \times \mathbb{Z}^+$ with relatively prime components satisfying $w_1 > w_2$ there exists a Reeb vector field $\xi_{\mathbf{v}}$ in the 2-dimensional \mathbf{w} -Sasaki cone on $M_{l_1, l_2, \mathbf{w}}$ such that the corresponding Sasakian structure $\mathcal{S} = (\xi_{\mathbf{v}}, \eta_{\mathbf{v}}, \Phi, g)$ is **Sasaki-Einstein**.

Constant Scalar Curvature in Higher Dimension

- Case 2. $\dim N > 1$. Take N to be a **Kähler manifold** with constant scalar curvature.
- Fundamental Theorem holds but the **diffeomorphism type** can depend on l_1, l_2, \mathbf{w} .
- Take N to be a **positive KE** manifold, and let

$$l_1 = \frac{J_N}{\gcd(w_1 + w_2, J_N)}, \quad l_2 = \frac{w_1 + w_2}{\gcd(w_1 + w_2, J_N)}$$

where J_N denotes the Fano index of N . Then for each vector $\mathbf{w} = (w_1, w_2) \in \mathbb{Z}^+ \times \mathbb{Z}^+$ with relatively prime components satisfying $w_1 > w_2$ there exists a Reeb vector field $\xi_{\mathbf{v}}$ in the 2-dimensional \mathbf{w} -Sasaki cone on $M_{l_1, l_2, \mathbf{w}}$ such that the corresponding Sasakian structure $\mathcal{S} = (\xi_{\mathbf{v}}, \eta_{\mathbf{v}}, \Phi, g)$ is **Sasaki-Einstein**.

- In this case the Sasakian structure associated to every single ray, $\xi_{\mathbf{v}}$, in the \mathbf{w} -Sasaki cone is a **Sasaki-Ricci soliton** and $c(\mathcal{D}, J) = \kappa(\mathcal{D}, J)$.

Constant Scalar Curvature in Higher Dimension

- Case 2. $\dim N > 1$. Take N to be a **Kähler manifold** with constant scalar curvature.
- Fundamental Theorem holds but the **diffeomorphism type** can depend on l_1, l_2, \mathbf{w} .
- Take N to be a **positive KE** manifold, and let

$$l_1 = \frac{J_N}{\gcd(w_1 + w_2, J_N)}, \quad l_2 = \frac{w_1 + w_2}{\gcd(w_1 + w_2, J_N)}$$

where J_N denotes the Fano index of N . Then for each vector $\mathbf{w} = (w_1, w_2) \in \mathbb{Z}^+ \times \mathbb{Z}^+$ with relatively prime components satisfying $w_1 > w_2$ there exists a Reeb vector field $\xi_{\mathbf{v}}$ in the 2-dimensional \mathbf{w} -Sasaki cone on $M_{l_1, l_2, \mathbf{w}}$ such that the corresponding Sasakian structure $\mathcal{S} = (\xi_{\mathbf{v}}, \eta_{\mathbf{v}}, \Phi, g)$ is **Sasaki-Einstein**.

- In this case the Sasakian structure associated to every single ray, $\xi_{\mathbf{v}}$, in the \mathbf{w} -Sasaki cone is a **Sasaki-Ricci soliton** and $c(\mathcal{D}, J) = \kappa(\mathcal{D}, J)$.
- Special Case: $N = \mathbb{C}P^p$ with **Fubini-Study metric** and $p > 1$, so $M = S^{2p+1}$ with its **standard Sasaki-Einstein metric**. Here l_1, l_2 are arbitrary relatively prime positive integers.

Constant Scalar Curvature in Higher Dimension

- Case 2. $\dim N > 1$. Take N to be a **Kähler manifold** with constant scalar curvature.
- Fundamental Theorem holds but the **diffeomorphism type** can depend on l_1, l_2, \mathbf{w} .
- Take N to be a **positive KE** manifold, and let

$$l_1 = \frac{J_N}{\gcd(w_1 + w_2, J_N)}, \quad l_2 = \frac{w_1 + w_2}{\gcd(w_1 + w_2, J_N)}$$

where J_N denotes the Fano index of N . Then for each vector $\mathbf{w} = (w_1, w_2) \in \mathbb{Z}^+ \times \mathbb{Z}^+$ with relatively prime components satisfying $w_1 > w_2$ there exists a Reeb vector field $\xi_{\mathbf{w}}$ in the 2-dimensional \mathbf{w} -Sasaki cone on $M_{l_1, l_2, \mathbf{w}}$ such that the corresponding Sasakian structure $\mathcal{S} = (\xi_{\mathbf{w}}, \eta_{\mathbf{w}}, \Phi, g)$ is **Sasaki-Einstein**.

- In this case the Sasakian structure associated to every single ray, $\xi_{\mathbf{w}}$, in the \mathbf{w} -Sasaki cone is a **Sasaki-Ricci soliton** and $c(\mathcal{D}, J) = \kappa(\mathcal{D}, J)$.
- Special Case: $N = \mathbb{C}P^p$ with **Fubini-Study metric** and $p > 1$, so $M = S^{2p+1}$ with its **standard Sasaki-Einstein metric**. Here l_1, l_2 are arbitrary relatively prime positive integers.
- In this case the **cohomology ring** of the join $M_{l_1, l_2, \mathbf{w}}^{2p+1} = S^{2p+1} \star_{l_1, l_2} S_{\mathbf{w}}^3$ is

$$\mathbb{Z}[x, y]/(w_1 w_2 l_1^2 x^2, x^{p+1}, x^2 y, y^2)$$

where x, y are classes of degree 2 and $2p + 1$, respectively.

Seven Dimensional Case:

- Specialize further: Take $p = 2$. Then $M_{l'_1, l'_2, w'}^7$ and $M_{l_1, l_2, w}^7$ are homotopy equivalent if and only if

$$w'_1 w'_2 (l'_1)^2 = w_1 w_2 l_1^2, \quad (l'_1)^2 (w'_1 + w'_2)^2 - l_1^2 (w_1 + w_2)^2 \equiv 0 \pmod{3 \in \mathbb{Z}_{w_1 w_2 l_1^2}}$$

$$l'_2 \equiv l_2 \pmod{2}, \quad (l'_2)^3 \pm l_2^3 \equiv 0 \pmod{w_1 w_2 l_1^2}.$$

Seven Dimensional Case:

- Specialize further: Take $p = 2$. Then $M_{l'_1, l'_2, w'}^7$ and $M_{l_1, l_2, w}^7$ are homotopy equivalent if and only if

$$w'_1 w'_2 (l'_1)^2 = w_1 w_2 l_1^2, \quad (l'_1)^2 (w'_1 + w'_2)^2 - l_1^2 (w_1 + w_2)^2 \equiv 0 \pmod{3 \in \mathbb{Z}_{w_1 w_2 l_1^2}}$$

$$l'_2 \equiv l_2 \pmod{2}, \quad (l'_2)^3 \pm l_2^3 \equiv 0 \pmod{w_1 w_2 l_1^2}.$$

- Example:** The eight 7-manifolds with $(l_1, l_2, w_1, w_2) =$

$$(1, 2182, 6545, 1), (1, 438, 1309, 5), (1, 202, 935, 7), (1, 134, 385, 17),$$

$$(1, 74, 187, 35), (1, 58, 119, 55), (1, 54, 85, 77)$$

are **homotopy equivalent**, admit **SE** metrics, but they are not homeomorphic.

Seven Dimensional Case:

- Specialize further: Take $p = 2$. Then $M_{l'_1, l'_2, w'}^7$ and $M_{l_1, l_2, w}^7$ are homotopy equivalent if and only if

$$w'_1 w'_2 (l'_1)^2 = w_1 w_2 l_1^2, \quad (l'_1)^2 (w'_1 + w'_2)^2 - l_1^2 (w_1 + w_2)^2 \equiv 0 \pmod{3 \in \mathbb{Z}_{w_1 w_2 l_1^2}}$$

$$l'_2 \equiv l_2 \pmod{2}, \quad (l'_2)^3 \pm l_2^3 \equiv 0 \pmod{w_1 w_2 l_1^2}.$$

- Example:** The eight 7-manifolds with $(l_1, l_2, w_1, w_2) =$

$$(1, 2182, 6545, 1), (1, 438, 1309, 5), (1, 202, 935, 7), (1, 134, 385, 17),$$

$$(1, 74, 187, 35), (1, 58, 119, 55), (1, 54, 85, 77)$$

are **homotopy equivalent**, admit **SE** metrics, but they are not homeomorphic.

- Diffeomorphism (homeomorphism)** types determined by their **Kreck-Stolz** invariants. Generally they are fairly difficult to compute.

Seven Dimensional Case:

- Specialize further: Take $p = 2$. Then $M_{l'_1, l'_2, w'}^7$ and $M_{l_1, l_2, w}^7$ are homotopy equivalent if and only if

$$w'_1 w'_2 (l'_1)^2 = w_1 w_2 l_1^2, \quad (l'_1)^2 (w'_1 + w'_2)^2 - l_1^2 (w_1 + w_2)^2 \equiv 0 \pmod{3 \in \mathbb{Z}_{w_1 w_2 l_1^2}}$$

$$l'_2 \equiv l_2 \pmod{2}, \quad (l'_2)^3 \pm l_2^3 \equiv 0 \pmod{w_1 w_2 l_1^2}.$$

- Example:** The eight 7-manifolds with $(l_1, l_2, w_1, w_2) =$

$$(1, 2182, 6545, 1), (1, 438, 1309, 5), (1, 202, 935, 7), (1, 134, 385, 17),$$

$$(1, 74, 187, 35), (1, 58, 119, 55), (1, 54, 85, 77)$$

are **homotopy equivalent**, admit **SE** metrics, but they are not homeomorphic.

- Diffeomorphism (homeomorphism)** types determined by their **Kreck-Stolz** invariants. Generally they are fairly difficult to compute.
- Example:** Simple case; M^7 is **homogeneous**, i.e. $w = (1, 1)$. Take $l_1 = 5$. Then $M_{5, l'_2, (1,1)}^7$ and $M_{5, l_2, (1,1)}^7$ are homeomorphic if and only if $l'_2 \equiv l_2 \pmod{50}$, and they are diffeomorphic if and only if $l'_2 \equiv l_2 \pmod{100}$. There is a countable infinity of **contact structures of Sasaki type** on each diffeomorphism type. Furthermore, they all admit **CSC** Sasaki metrics.

Outline of proof of Fundamental Theorem:

- From join construction get **commutative diagram** of fibrations:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} M \times S_{\mathbf{w}}^3 & \longrightarrow & M_{l_1, l_2, \mathbf{w}} & \longrightarrow & BS^1 \\ \downarrow = & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \psi \\ M \times S_{\mathbf{w}}^3 & \longrightarrow & N \times BCP^1[\mathbf{w}] & \longrightarrow & BS^1 \times BS^1 \end{array}$$

where BG is the classifying space of a group G or Haefliger's classifying space of an orbifold if G is an orbifold. Note that the lower fibration is a product of fibrations.

Outline of proof of Fundamental Theorem:

- From join construction get **commutative diagram** of fibrations:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 M \times S_{\mathbf{w}}^3 & \longrightarrow & M_{l_1, l_2, \mathbf{w}} & \longrightarrow & BS^1 \\
 \downarrow = & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \psi \\
 M \times S_{\mathbf{w}}^3 & \longrightarrow & N \times BCP^1[\mathbf{w}] & \longrightarrow & BS^1 \times BS^1
 \end{array}$$

where BG is the classifying space of a group G or Haefliger's classifying space of an orbifold if G is an orbifold. Note that the lower fibration is a product of fibrations.

- Given the differentials in the **spectral sequence** of the fibration $M \longrightarrow N \longrightarrow BS^1$, one can use the commutative diagram to compute the **cohomology ring** of the **contact** manifold $M_{l_1, l_2, \mathbf{w}}$.

Outline of proof of Fundamental Theorem:

- From join construction get **commutative diagram** of fibrations:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 M \times S_{\mathbf{w}}^3 & \longrightarrow & M_{l_1, l_2, \mathbf{w}} & \longrightarrow & BS^1 \\
 \downarrow = & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \psi \\
 M \times S_{\mathbf{w}}^3 & \longrightarrow & N \times BCP^1[\mathbf{w}] & \longrightarrow & BS^1 \times BS^1
 \end{array}$$

where BG is the classifying space of a group G or Haefliger's classifying space of an orbifold if G is an orbifold. Note that the lower fibration is a product of fibrations.

- Given the differentials in the **spectral sequence** of the fibration $M \longrightarrow N \longrightarrow BS^1$, one can use the commutative diagram to compute the **cohomology ring** of the **contact** manifold $M_{l_1, l_2, \mathbf{w}}$.
- The existence of an extra **Hamiltonian Killing** vector field gives 2-dimensional Sasaki cone $\kappa(\mathcal{D}_{l_1, l_2, \mathbf{w}}, \mathcal{J})$.

Outline of proof of Fundamental Theorem:

- From join construction get **commutative diagram** of fibrations:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 M \times S_{\mathbf{w}}^3 & \longrightarrow & M_{l_1, l_2, \mathbf{w}} & \longrightarrow & BS^1 \\
 \downarrow = & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \psi \\
 M \times S_{\mathbf{w}}^3 & \longrightarrow & N \times BCP^1[\mathbf{w}] & \longrightarrow & BS^1 \times BS^1
 \end{array}$$

where BG is the classifying space of a group G or Haefliger's classifying space of an orbifold if G is an orbifold. Note that the lower fibration is a product of fibrations.

- Given the differentials in the **spectral sequence** of the fibration $M \longrightarrow N \longrightarrow BS^1$, one can use the commutative diagram to compute the **cohomology ring** of the **contact** manifold $M_{l_1, l_2, \mathbf{w}}$.
- The existence of an extra **Hamiltonian Killing** vector field gives 2-dimensional Sasaki cone $\kappa(\mathcal{D}_{l_1, l_2, \mathbf{w}}, \mathcal{J})$.
- The quotient space of the S^1 -action generated by any quasi-regular Reeb vector field $\xi_{\mathbf{v}} \in \kappa(\mathcal{D}_{l_1, l_2, \mathbf{w}}, \mathcal{J})$ is a ruled orbifold $(S_n, \Delta_{mv_1, mv_2})$ with a branch divisor Δ_{mv_1, mv_2} consisting of the zero and infinity sections of the **projective bundle** $S_n = \mathbb{P}(\mathbb{I} \oplus L_n)$ over N with **ramification indices** mv_1, mv_2 , respectively and n an integer determined by $l_1, l_2, \mathbf{w}, \mathbf{v}$.

Outline of proof of Fundamental Theorem:

- From join construction get **commutative diagram** of fibrations:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 M \times S_{\mathbf{w}}^3 & \longrightarrow & M_{l_1, l_2, \mathbf{w}} & \longrightarrow & BS^1 \\
 \downarrow = & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \psi \\
 M \times S_{\mathbf{w}}^3 & \longrightarrow & N \times BCP^1[\mathbf{w}] & \longrightarrow & BS^1 \times BS^1
 \end{array}$$

where BG is the classifying space of a group G or Haefliger's classifying space of an orbifold if G is an orbifold. Note that the lower fibration is a product of fibrations.

- Given the differentials in the **spectral sequence** of the fibration $M \longrightarrow N \longrightarrow BS^1$, one can use the commutative diagram to compute the **cohomology ring** of the **contact** manifold $M_{l_1, l_2, \mathbf{w}}$.
- The existence of an extra **Hamiltonian Killing** vector field gives 2-dimensional Sasaki cone $\kappa(\mathcal{D}_{l_1, l_2, \mathbf{w}}, \mathcal{J})$.
- The quotient space of the S^1 -action generated by any quasi-regular Reeb vector field $\xi_{\mathbf{v}} \in \kappa(\mathcal{D}_{l_1, l_2, \mathbf{w}}, \mathcal{J})$ is a ruled orbifold $(S_n, \Delta_{mv_1, mv_2})$ with a branch divisor Δ_{mv_1, mv_2} consisting of the zero and infinity sections of the **projective bundle** $S_n = \mathbb{P}(\mathbb{I} \oplus L_n)$ over N with **ramification indices** mv_1, mv_2 , respectively and n an integer determined by $l_1, l_2, \mathbf{w}, \mathbf{v}$.
- Extremal (CSC)** Sasakian structures on $M_{l_1, l_2, \mathbf{w}}$ correspond to **extremal (CSC)** Kähler structures on $(S_n, \Delta_{mv_1, mv_2})$.

Outline of proof of Fundamental Theorem:

- From join construction get **commutative diagram** of fibrations:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 M \times S_{\mathbf{w}}^3 & \longrightarrow & M_{l_1, l_2, \mathbf{w}} & \longrightarrow & BS^1 \\
 \downarrow = & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \psi \\
 M \times S_{\mathbf{w}}^3 & \longrightarrow & N \times BCP^1[\mathbf{w}] & \longrightarrow & BS^1 \times BS^1
 \end{array}$$

where BG is the classifying space of a group G or Haefliger's classifying space of an orbifold if G is an orbifold. Note that the lower fibration is a product of fibrations.

- Given the differentials in the **spectral sequence** of the fibration $M \longrightarrow N \longrightarrow BS^1$, one can use the commutative diagram to compute the **cohomology ring** of the **contact** manifold $M_{l_1, l_2, \mathbf{w}}$.
- The existence of an extra **Hamiltonian Killing** vector field gives 2-dimensional Sasaki cone $\kappa(\mathcal{D}_{l_1, l_2, \mathbf{w}}, \mathcal{J})$.
- The quotient space of the S^1 -action generated by any quasi-regular Reeb vector field $\xi_{\mathbf{v}} \in \kappa(\mathcal{D}_{l_1, l_2, \mathbf{w}}, \mathcal{J})$ is a ruled orbifold $(S_n, \Delta_{mv_1, mv_2})$ with a branch divisor Δ_{mv_1, mv_2} consisting of the zero and infinity sections of the **projective bundle** $S_n = \mathbb{P}(\mathbb{I} \oplus L_n)$ over N with **ramification indices** mv_1, mv_2 , respectively and n an integer determined by $l_1, l_2, \mathbf{w}, \mathbf{v}$.
- Extremal (CSC)** Sasakian structures on $M_{l_1, l_2, \mathbf{w}}$ correspond to **extremal (CSC)** Kähler structures on $(S_n, \Delta_{mv_1, mv_2})$.
- Irregular rays are handled by the **denseness** of the quasi-regular rays.

Outline of proof of Fundamental Theorem:

- From join construction get **commutative diagram** of fibrations:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 M \times S_{\mathbf{w}}^3 & \longrightarrow & M_{l_1, l_2, \mathbf{w}} & \longrightarrow & BS^1 \\
 \downarrow = & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \psi \\
 M \times S_{\mathbf{w}}^3 & \longrightarrow & N \times BCP^1[\mathbf{w}] & \longrightarrow & BS^1 \times BS^1
 \end{array}$$

where BG is the classifying space of a group G or Haefliger's classifying space of an orbifold if G is an orbifold. Note that the lower fibration is a product of fibrations.

- Given the differentials in the **spectral sequence** of the fibration $M \longrightarrow N \longrightarrow BS^1$, one can use the commutative diagram to compute the **cohomology ring** of the **contact** manifold $M_{l_1, l_2, \mathbf{w}}$.
- The existence of an extra **Hamiltonian Killing** vector field gives 2-dimensional Sasaki cone $\kappa(\mathcal{D}_{l_1, l_2, \mathbf{w}}, \mathcal{J})$.
- The quotient space of the S^1 -action generated by any quasi-regular Reeb vector field $\xi_{\mathbf{v}} \in \kappa(\mathcal{D}_{l_1, l_2, \mathbf{w}}, \mathcal{J})$ is a ruled orbifold $(S_n, \Delta_{mv_1, mv_2})$ with a branch divisor Δ_{mv_1, mv_2} consisting of the zero and infinity sections of the **projective bundle** $S_n = \mathbb{P}(\mathbb{I} \oplus L_n)$ over N with **ramification indices** mv_1, mv_2 , respectively and n an integer determined by $l_1, l_2, \mathbf{w}, \mathbf{v}$.
- Extremal (CSC)** Sasakian structures on $M_{l_1, l_2, \mathbf{w}}$ correspond to **extremal (CSC)** Kähler structures on $(S_n, \Delta_{mv_1, mv_2})$.
- Irregular rays are handled by the **denseness** of the quasi-regular rays.
- Easy for the local product structures $n = 0$ case.

- For $n > 0$, the work of **Apostolov, Calderbank, Gauduchon, Tønnesen-Friedman** on Hamiltonian 2-forms shows that extremality boils down to: the transverse Kähler structure is $g_{\mathcal{D}} = \frac{1+r\beta}{r} g_{\Sigma_g} + \frac{d\beta^2}{\Theta(\beta)} + \Theta(\beta)\theta^2$ where θ is a connection 1-form, $d\theta = n\omega_N$, $0 < r < 1$, $\Theta(\beta) > 0$ and $-1 < \beta < 1$, $\Theta(\pm 1) = 0$, $\Theta'(-1) = \frac{2}{mv_2}$, $\Theta'(1) = -\frac{2}{mv_1}$. When $\Theta(\beta)(1+r\beta)^d$ is a $(d+3)$ order polynomial we get extremal Kähler transverse metrics; hence, **extremal Sasaki metrics**. Here d is the complex dimension of N .

- For $n > 0$, the work of **Apostolov, Calderbank, Gauduchon, Tønnesen-Friedman** on Hamiltonian 2-forms shows that extremality boils down to: the transverse Kähler structure is $g_{\mathcal{D}} = \frac{1+r\beta}{r} g_{\Sigma_g} + \frac{d\beta^2}{\Theta(\beta)} + \Theta(\beta)\theta^2$ where θ is a connection 1-form, $d\theta = n\omega_N$, $0 < r < 1$, $\Theta(\beta) > 0$ and $-1 < \beta < 1$, $\Theta(\pm 1) = 0$, $\Theta'(-1) = \frac{2}{mv_2}$, $\Theta'(1) = -\frac{2}{mv_1}$. When $\Theta(\beta)(1+r\beta)^d$ is a $(d+3)$ order polynomial we get extremal Kähler transverse metrics; hence, **extremal Sasaki metrics**. Here d is the complex dimension of N .
- Demanding a $(d+2)$ order polynomial one shows that each **Sasaki cone** has a unique **CSC** ray.

- For $n > 0$, the work of **Apostolov, Calderbank, Gauduchon, Tønnesen-Friedman** on Hamiltonian 2-forms shows that extremality boils down to: the transverse Kähler structure is $g_{\mathcal{D}} = \frac{1+r\beta}{r} g_{\Sigma_g} + \frac{d\beta^2}{\Theta(\beta)} + \Theta(\beta)\theta^2$ where θ is a connection 1-form, $d\theta = n\omega_N$, $0 < r < 1$, $\Theta(\beta) > 0$ and $-1 < \beta < 1$, $\Theta(\pm 1) = 0$, $\Theta'(-1) = \frac{2}{mv_2}$, $\Theta'(1) = -\frac{2}{mv_1}$. When $\Theta(\beta)(1+r\beta)^d$ is a $(d+3)$ order polynomial we get extremal Kähler transverse metrics; hence, **extremal Sasaki metrics**. Here d is the complex dimension of N .
- Demanding a $(d+2)$ order polynomial one shows that each **Sasaki cone** has a unique **CSC** ray.
- \square

- Also joint work with C. Tønnesen-Friedman

- Also joint work with C. Tønnesen-Friedman
- Represent a homology 3-sphere $M_{\mathbf{a}}^3$ as the link of a complete intersection $L(a_0, \dots, a_n)$ with $a_i > 1$ and pairwise relatively prime.

- Also joint work with C. Tønnesen-Friedman
- Represent a homology 3-sphere $M_{\mathbf{a}}^3$ as the link of a complete intersection $L(a_0, \dots, a_n)$ with $a_i > 1$ and pairwise relatively prime.
- Let N be a **simply connected regular Sasakian** manifold.

- Also joint work with C. Tønnesen-Friedman
- Represent a homology 3-sphere $M_{\mathbf{a}}^3$ as the link of a complete intersection $L(a_0, \dots, a_n)$ with $a_i > 1$ and pairwise relatively prime.
- Let N be a **simply connected regular Sasakian** manifold.
- Then the join $M_{\mathbf{a}}^3 \star_{1,J} N$ is a Sasakian manifold with **perfect fundamental group**.

- Also joint work with C. Tønnesen-Friedman
- Represent a homology 3-sphere $M_{\mathbf{a}}^3$ as the link of a complete intersection $L(a_0, \dots, a_n)$ with $a_i > 1$ and pairwise relatively prime.
- Let N be a **simply connected regular Sasakian** manifold.
- Then the join $M_{\mathbf{a}}^3 \star_{1,1} N$ is a Sasakian manifold with **perfect fundamental group**.
- If N has an **extremal** Sasaki metric so does $M_{\mathbf{a}}^3 \star_{1,1} N$.

Sasakian Manifolds with Perfect fundamental group

- Also joint work with C. Tønnesen-Friedman
- Represent a homology 3-sphere M_a^3 as the link of a complete intersection $L(a_0, \dots, a_n)$ with $a_i > 1$ and pairwise relatively prime.
- Let N be a **simply connected regular Sasakian** manifold.
- Then the join $M_a^3 \star_{1,1} N$ is a Sasakian manifold with **perfect fundamental group**.
- If N has an **extremal** Sasaki metric so does $M_a^3 \star_{1,1} N$.
- If N has an **CSC** Sasaki metric so does $M_a^3 \star_{1,1} N$.

- Also joint work with C. Tønnesen-Friedman
- Represent a homology 3-sphere $M_{\mathbf{a}}^3$ as the link of a complete intersection $L(a_0, \dots, a_n)$ with $a_i > 1$ and pairwise relatively prime.
- Let N be a **simply connected regular Sasakian** manifold.
- Then the join $M_{\mathbf{a}}^3 \star_{1,1} N$ is a Sasakian manifold with **perfect fundamental group**.
- If N has an **extremal** Sasaki metric so does $M_{\mathbf{a}}^3 \star_{1,1} N$.
- If N has an **CSC** Sasaki metric so does $M_{\mathbf{a}}^3 \star_{1,1} N$.
- If $L(a_0, \dots, a_n) \neq L(2, 3, 5)$ then $M_{\mathbf{a}}^3 \star_{1,1} N$ has an infinite perfect fundamental group.

- Also joint work with C. Tønnesen-Friedman
- Represent a homology 3-sphere $M_{\mathbf{a}}^3$ as the link of a complete intersection $L(a_0, \dots, a_n)$ with $a_i > 1$ and pairwise relatively prime.
- Let N be a **simply connected regular Sasakian** manifold.
- Then the join $M_{\mathbf{a}}^3 \star_{1,l} N$ is a Sasakian manifold with **perfect fundamental group**.
- If N has an **extremal** Sasaki metric so does $M_{\mathbf{a}}^3 \star_{1,l} N$.
- If N has an **CSC** Sasaki metric so does $M_{\mathbf{a}}^3 \star_{1,l} N$.
- If $L(a_0, \dots, a_n) \neq L(2, 3, 5)$ then $M_{\mathbf{a}}^3 \star_{1,l} N$ has an infinite perfect fundamental group.
- $M_{\mathbf{a}}^3 = L(2, 3, 5)$ is the Poincaré sphere S^3/\mathbb{I}^* and $S^3/\mathbb{I}^* \star_{1,l} N$ gives a **Sasaki-Einstein** manifold with perfect fundamental group for suitable choices of l and N .

Sasakian Manifolds with Perfect fundamental group

- Also joint work with C. Tønnesen-Friedman
- Represent a homology 3-sphere M_a^3 as the link of a complete intersection $L(a_0, \dots, a_n)$ with $a_i > 1$ and pairwise relatively prime.
- Let N be a **simply connected regular Sasakian** manifold.
- Then the join $M_a^3 \star_{1,l} N$ is a Sasakian manifold with **perfect fundamental group**.
- If N has an **extremal** Sasaki metric so does $M_a^3 \star_{1,l} N$.
- If N has an **CSC** Sasaki metric so does $M_a^3 \star_{1,l} N$.
- If $L(a_0, \dots, a_n) \neq L(2, 3, 5)$ then $M_a^3 \star_{1,l} N$ has an infinite perfect fundamental group.
- $M_a^3 = L(2, 3, 5)$ is the Poincaré sphere S^3/\mathbb{I}^* and $S^3/\mathbb{I}^* \star_{1,l} N$ gives a **Sasaki-Einstein** manifold with perfect fundamental group for suitable choices of l and N .
- For each odd dimension ≥ 3 there exists a countable infinity of Sasakian manifolds with a perfect fundamental group which admit **CSC** Sasaki metrics. Furthermore, there is an infinite number of such Sasakian manifolds that have the **integral cohomology ring** of $S^2 \times S^{2r+1}$.

Sasakian Manifolds with Perfect fundamental group

- Also joint work with C. Tønnesen-Friedman
- Represent a homology 3-sphere M_a^3 as the link of a complete intersection $L(a_0, \dots, a_n)$ with $a_i > 1$ and pairwise relatively prime.
- Let N be a **simply connected regular Sasakian** manifold.
- Then the join $M_a^3 \star_{1,l} N$ is a Sasakian manifold with **perfect fundamental group**.
- If N has an **extremal** Sasaki metric so does $M_a^3 \star_{1,l} N$.
- If N has an **CSC** Sasaki metric so does $M_a^3 \star_{1,l} N$.
- If $L(a_0, \dots, a_n) \neq L(2, 3, 5)$ then $M_a^3 \star_{1,l} N$ has an infinite perfect fundamental group.
- $M_a^3 = L(2, 3, 5)$ is the Poincaré sphere S^3/\mathbb{I}^* and $S^3/\mathbb{I}^* \star_{1,l} N$ gives a **Sasaki-Einstein** manifold with perfect fundamental group for suitable choices of l and N .
- For each odd dimension ≥ 3 there exists a countable infinity of Sasakian manifolds with a perfect fundamental group which admit **CSC** Sasaki metrics. Furthermore, there is an infinite number of such Sasakian manifolds that have the **integral cohomology ring** of $S^2 \times S^{2r+1}$.
- There exist a countably infinite number of **aspherical** contact 5-manifolds with **perfect** fundamental group and the **integral cohomology ring** of $S^2 \times S^3$ that admit **CSC** Sasaki metrics. Moreover, there are such manifolds that admit a ray of **Sasaki- η -Einstein** metrics (hence, **Lorentzian Sasaki-Einstein** metrics).

References

1. **Extremal Sasakian Metrics on S^3 -bundles over S^2** , Math. Res. Lett. 18 (2011), no. 01, 181-189.
2. **Maximal Tori in Contactomorphism Groups**, Diff. Geom and Appl. 21, no. 2 (2013), 190-216 (Math arXiv:1003.1903).
3. **Completely Integrable Contact Hamiltonian Systems and Toric Contact Structures on $S^2 \times S^3$** , SIGMA Symmetry Integrability Geom. Methods Appl 7 (2011), 058, 22.
4. (with J. Pati) **On the Equivalence Problem for Toric Contact Structures on S^3 -bundles over S^2** (to appear in Pac. J. Math.) Math arXiv:1204.2209.
5. (with C. Tønnesen-Friedman) **Extremal Sasakian Geometry on $T^2 \times S^3$ and Related Manifolds** *Compositio Math.* 149 (2013), 1431-1456 (Math arXiv:1108.2005).
- 6 (with C. Tønnesen-Friedman) **Sasakian Manifolds with Perfect Fundamental Groups**, African Diaspora Journal of Mathematics 14 (2) (2012), 98-117.
7. (with C. Tønnesen-Friedman) **Extremal Sasakian Geometry on S^3 -bundles over Riemann Surfaces** (to appear in International Mathematical Research Notices) Math ArXiv:1302.0776.
8. (with C. Tønnesen-Friedman) **The Sasaki Join, Hamiltonian 2-forms, and Sasaki-Einstein Metrics** (submitted for publication) Math ArXiv:1309.7067.

General Reference: **C.P. B- and K. Galicki, Sasakian Geometry**, Oxford University Press, 2008.